

An Analysis of Marxism and Globalism in Eschatology

Today we will be doing something a little different for the monthly Prophecy Update. At the behest of Pastor Ray, we will be examining the role of Marxism and globalism in relation to eschatology, i.e. the End Times. With that said, I would like to make everyone aware of the disclaimer I use for all my Bible Studies, and which is exceedingly important here: Acts 17:11. I am going to say many things you may not have heard before, things that will make you uncomfortable, things that will not sit well with you. That's fine. Be like the Bereans and search the Scriptures daily to prove if these things are so. And, because I am also pulling from historical or otherwise secular sources, I cite where possible and can make a copy of sources available upon request if anyone would like to check what I have said

With that said, the march towards globalism and its many Marxist qualities is not something peculiar to the last two hundred years or so, though it has seen an acceleration over that time; rather, these are phenomena that trace back thousands of years and are part of the larger world system run by the ruler of this world, Satan (**Luke 4:5-6**). Our contention is that all the moves we see in the world today, and all their preceding moves, are part of a larger end-times strategy formulated by Satan and his forces to destroy the nation of Israel and usurp permeant authority on the earth. To forward this strategy it is first necessary to create the conditions for global governance with a technological base and world population most accepting of the one we call the Antichrist as Satan's personal representative on earth (**Revelation 13:2**).

But I said these forces are nothing new, and indeed that is so, for it began in Genesis 3 when God declared war and ultimate victory over the serpent and his seed. Then after the Flood, and in defiance of God, men begin to concentrate themselves into a city of Nimrod and build a great tower. This Nimrod was, for all practical purposes, the first world dictator; he was the founder of many cities, and a ruler who lived in defiance of God (**Genesis 10:8**). And it is in one of his cities, Babel (later Babylon) that we find men congregating and centering their lives around a tower for astrological and occultic practices. It is a misunderstanding to understand the Tower of Babel as a pathetic attempt by ignorant men to build a tower to reach into the sky; rather it was a 'tower with the heavens in its top,' and when compared with what we know of the spread of false religion in the world, we can see the practices that spread throughout the earth, and are still with us today, got their start in Babylon¹. For those interested in more on the topic I recommend J.A. Seiss's *The Gospel in the Stars*² and E.W. Bullinger's *The Witness of the Stars*³. One might also consider Hyslop's *The Two Babylon's*⁴, though note with the final one there are some technical problems, though nothing which detracts from his general thesis. So, what we have in Babel are the first examples of what will become a thread through history, and act as a blueprint in the Last Days; the world in political and ecclesiastic unity in knowing defiance of God (**Psalms 2, Revelation 17-18**). It is a mistake to think that the forces of the world seek a world without religion; on the contrary they want a world full of religion, but also a world without God.

Now, the ideas within Marxism also did not start with Marx, but have been observed and tried many times throughout history. I quote here a single example from the Ancient Chinese Dynasty of Xin; and its sole ruler, Wang Mang dated 9AD-23AD between the Former and Latter Han Dynasties. Wang Mang was a fanatical Confusionist and believed that if Confusion principles were correctly implemented through China, then they would be able to have a superior State; and part of this process involved the

¹ See also: https://www.blueletterbible.org/audio_video/popPlayer.cfm?id=3004&rel=missler_chuck/Gen

² Full text found here:

https://ia800802.us.archive.org/6/items/gospelinstarsorp00seis_0/gospelinstarsorp00seis_0.pdf

³ Full text found here: https://www.levendwater.org/books/witness/the_witness_of_the_stars_bullinger.pdf

⁴ Full text found here: http://ldolphin.org/PDFs/The_Two_Babylons-Alexander_Hislop.pdf

aggregation of power and wealth for the redistribution to the people. He was well qualified for this task and went about it with the zeal of a True Believer, and backed by the Chinese bureaucracy and the Chinese belief in his Mandate from Heaven. However, he was deposed after years of chaos, famine, poverty, and general unrest resulting in the re-establishment of the Han Dynasty. I quote now from John Keay's, *China: A History*⁵:

At first all had gone well. In a flurry of directives, slavery (though never that common) was abolished, the sale of land was forbidden, orders were issued for the break-up and redistribution of large estates, and there was a move towards the restoration of the Zhou's idealized 'well-field' system of peasant holdings (whereby eight families each held an equal area of land within a ditched grid, somewhat like a boxed 'noughts-and-crosses' graph, the spare square in the middle being held in common). These were brave initiatives aimed at redressing inequality and reinstalling a traditional economy based on self-sufficient peasantry. They ought to have won the approval of both precedent-minded historians and later social reformers. But in fact even twentieth-century revolutionaries would be little tempted to rehabilitate Wang Mang. Chiang Kai-Shek, the Nationalist leader, would deplore the Marxist construction, that could be put on the egalitarian 'well-field' system. The Marxists were equally uncomfortable with it because of its 'feudal' character... His four reformations of the coinage ..., his 'Five Equalizations' (designed to stabilize prices and provide rural credit) and his Six Monopolies... may have been well intentioned. Although state monopolies had been a Confusion target... all these measures could be seen as discouraging speculative enterprise in favor of honest small-scale farming, the bedrock of Confucian economics. But in practice they caused chaos and distress. (160-1).

This is just a single example of many that we could pull from to show the long and inglorious history of Marxist ideology throughout the world, both ancient and modern. But returning now to Europe and its quest for political and religious re-unification, we find one such attempt to reforge Rome after its dissolving at the hands of the barbarians. This form was the Holy Roman Empire which lasted some 1,000 years in Europe and was a marriage between the Pope (the successor in many ways to the Roman Emperors when the Empire broke apart) and the central European tribes under the command of Charlamagne. However, it never had the true power or importance of Rome and was often in a state of chaos, particularly in the later years. This prompted Voltaire to aptly note that it was, 'neither holy, nor Roman, nor an empire.' Later Napoleon and the French Empire came to the forefront of Continental power. Napoleon was a skilled general and administrator and it was under his leadership that France came out of the chaos that consumed it after the fall of the previous aristocracy. He too attempted a unification of Europe for political and economic reasons, and came close, but his pyrrhic victory at Moscow and later defeat at Waterloo ensured that the French Empire would never again rise to its former glory.

It was at this time, and with this atmosphere that Europe began a technological revolution with broad ranging consequences, both for her and the world at large. The Industrial Revolution had a series of impacts which broke previous social orders. The ability for mass production created economic opportunity that was previous unavailable, yet at the same time the investment capital and organization required for effective manufacturing and product distribution remained high. This created a shift in the

⁵ Keay, John. *China: A History*. New York: Basic Books, 2011.

balance of power among the classes of Europe and put it in the hands of the merchant class and financiers and away from the historic aristocracies; not to mention the sociological rending from masses of people concentrated in cities around manufacturing and production where traditional family structure and social life resided in the countryside. Within this climate Marx stepped in with a new plan for dealing with the social and economic problems of Europe⁶.

What then is the ideology of the Marxist system in general? The intent of Marxism is an even, or at least, centrally managed, planned, and controlled economic system for the distribution of wealth, goods, and services such that instead of natural market forces controlled by a population, or old aristocracies, these instead become centralized in a hands of a new ruling class. There are, however, some natural consequences to this politico-economic theory: Humanism, universality, power centralization, and the State as the highest authority

First, Marxism is a humanist governmental and economic system, i.e., it believes that ultimately, and under the correct conditions, Man can obtain a universal peace, equality, and understanding. Not only is this a direct contradiction of the Word of God, but it goes against the basic principles of history and human nature. God declared that the heart is evil and desperately wicked (**Jeremiah 17:9**), and that our righteousness is filthy rags (**Isaiah 64:6**). At no point in Scripture are we told that by our power will we be able to overcome the sin in the world or our own sin; this can only be done by Christ; all other attempts are useless. History bears this out. In all the ages of Man, in all the governmental systems he has devised, in all his invention, cleverness, in all his psychological manipulations, in all his systems and means, he has never been able to truly defeat the evil and sin within himself. Every system has been tried and, as Solomon so wisely said, there is nothing new under the sun (**Ecclesiastes 1:9**); not even Communism, as we have already demonstrated. Even the utopian experiments by Dr. Callhoun demonstrate that, contrary to popular conception, the creation of a perfect society will not lead to a brighter future, but to the death of the species as a whole⁷.

The second facet is that Marxism is necessarily a universal system and those who do not conform to its image must be converted or eliminated. I provide a single example from *The Internationale* (the is the anthem of communism) to demonstrate the first two ideological facets of communism, here quoted are some verses from the English translation from the original French:

Stand up, damned of the Earth
Stand up, prisoners of starvation
Reason thunders in its volcano
This is the eruption of the end.
Of the past let us make a clean slate
Enslaved masses, stand up, stand up.
The world is about to change its foundation
We are nothing, let us be all.

⁶ For a more complete analysis of Marxist thought *vis-à-vis* the Industrial Revolution, and the larger consequences of industrialization, please see Jacques Ellul's, *The Technological Society*. Full text found here: <https://ia803209.us.archive.org/2/items/JacquesEllulTheTechnologicalSociety/Jacques%20Ellul%20-%20The%20Technological%20Society.pdf>. For additional research into the impacts of technology the reader may wish to study Ernst Jünger's *On Pain* and its insights into the political realm and the encroachment of nihilism, specifically within Germany after the First World War. The full text can be found here: <https://ia801908.us.archive.org/13/items/on-pain-ernst-junger/On%20Pain%20-%20Ernst%20Junger.pdf>

⁷ Calhoun, John B. "Death Squared: The Explosive Growth and Demise of a Mouse Population." *Proc. Roy. Soc. Med.* 66 (January 1973). Accessed February 2, 2019. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/00359157730661p202>.

There are no supreme saviors
Neither God, nor Caesar, nor tribune.
Producers, let us save ourselves,
Decree the common salvation.
So that the thief expires,
So that the spirit be pulled from its prison,
Let us fan our forge ourselves
Strike the iron while it is hot.

Workers, peasants, we are
The great party of the workers.
The earth belongs only to men;
The idle will go to reside elsewhere.
How much of our flesh have they consumed?
But if these ravens, these vultures
Disappear one of these days,
The sun will still shine forever.

The necessary consequence of a universal system which aggregates power and capital away from the masses is that everyone must agree with and support it. For within communism there is the inherent need for uniformity, for if capital is aggregated within the hands of an elite few within the government to redistribute, spend, invest, etc., then there must, at some level, be agreement with not only the direction of the funds, but with the general mechanism by which said distribution occurs. This is in contrast to other economic forms wherein the individual has, to a greater or lesser extent, the ability to individually determine these factors and forces. Now, because within any sufficiently large collection of people there will invariably be a difference of opinion on anything, the natural consequence is a complete change of ideology, thinking, values, and set of orders which aligns with the State mandates, up to and including the re-definition of words as a means of dialogue control, as happened within the Soviet Union (Yurchak 2005, 36-77). For those interested in the various propaganda and control measures in place within the former Soviet Union I recommend Alexi Yurchak's, *Everything Was Forever Until it was No More: The Last Soviet Generation*⁸.

The final ideological tenant of Marxism is that "religion is the opiate of the masses." Marxism is vehemently opposed to religion (as we have already seen), for it is religion which provides Man with outside guidance and higher authority away from the constraints of a government or ruling power. As we have already stated, any system or ideological tenants which fall outside the realm of official mandate cause friction within the communist system. Thus, religion can be of the utmost threat to a fundamentally humanist system which must control all ideological dialogue and progress because not only does religion offer an outside voice and thought pattern into the individual and nation, but it is one that derives its authority from deity.

However, as opposed to religion as communism often is, that does not mean that it is not willing to use it, or the guise of it, to suite its own purposes. It has historically been a common practice of Marxism to infiltrate large religious organizations with communist agents or sympathizers for the purpose of undermining the tenants of that religion, or altering them to support the goals of the State, most notably the Russian Orthodox Church and the World Council of Churches(491)⁹. It is important to remember this

⁸ Yurchak, Alexei. *Everything Was Forever, Until It Was No More: The Last Soviet Generation*. Princeton University Press, 2005.

⁹ Andrew, Christopher, and Vasili Mitrokhin. *The Sword and the Shield*. New York, NY: Basic

when we consider the marriage between the state and those in the guise of religion. One of the common misconceptions among many when studying the End Times is to assume that there is a pervasive atheism within the world. This is not the case; there is religion, but it is corrupt and perverse version of it that has the names and forms of those things given by God, but none of the substance. Understand that one of the greatest traps of Satan is not to destroy the church, but to subvert it, to pollute it and blind those inside it. It has been so since at least the time of Constantine.

In 1917, the world got the first taste of a Marxist system in practical action. In an attempt to halt the two-front war Germany had been fighting in the First World War, German planners concocted a plan. They needed to remove either the Western Front or the Eastern Front and concentrate her forces in a single, massive offensive in the Spring of 1918¹⁰. As such, they chose a creative, asymmetric action to stop the war in the East. German officials contacted Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov (AKA, Lenin), who was living in exile in Switzerland, and made an arrangement; if sent back to Russia he would act as a dissident and upon achieving power there would be a treaty to end the Eastern Front. Lenin accepted and was placed on a sealed train car bound for Russia. Meanwhile, the Romanov dynasty was falling apart due to mismanagement and numerous losses during the war and, even though the Russian people still loved and revered the Emperor and his family, the dynasty fell and power transferred to the Duma (the legislature of Russia). But the Duma was weak and in the resulting power vacuum Lenin and his followers were able to seize power in the famous October Revolution. To his credit, Lenin kept his word, and the resulting Treaty of Brest-Litovsk effectively ended the war with Germany. For their part, the Bolsheviks believed that such a treaty was largely irrelevant because, with their recent success in Russia, it was thought that a worldwide Marxist revolution was at hand and that all such aggressions or treaties would be outdated in the coming utopia¹¹. They were only half right.

However, in all this there was a strange mutation in the Marxist genes, one which is seldom recognized today, and that is fascism. Many people believe that fascism is the extreme opposite of Marxism; this is not the case. Instead of a long digression into political theory I will simply allow the writers and thinkers of that time to use their own words. I quote now from, *The Road to Serfdom* by Fredric von Hayek¹²:

Similarly a British writer, Mr. F. A. Voigt, after many years of close observation of developments in Europe as a foreign correspondent, concludes that "Marxism has led to Fascism and National-Socialism, because, in all essentials, it is Fascism and National Socialism". And Dr. Walter Lippmann has arrived at the conviction that the generation to which we belong is now learning from experience what happens when men retreat from freedom to a coercive organization of their affairs. Though they promise themselves a more abundant life, they must in practice renounce it; as the organized direction increases, the variety of ends must give way to uniformity. That is the nemesis of the planned society and the authoritarian principle in human affair... We shall quote as one more example a German writer who expresses the same conclusion perhaps more justly than those already quoted. 'The complete collapse of the belief in the attainability of freedom and equality through Marxism [writes Mr. Peter Drucker] has forced Russia to travel the same road towards a totalitarian, purely negative, non-economic society of

Books, 2001.

¹⁰ Carlin, Dan. "Blueprint for Armageddon." *Dan Carlin - Hardcore History* (audio blog), October 1, 2013. Accessed April 14, 2018. <https://www.dancarlin.com/product/hardcore-history-50-blueprint-for-armedddon-i/>. Please note that the citation given refers to the first part of the multi-part series, and it is recommended that the interested party listen to the entire series for a complete context.

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Full text found here: <https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.46585>

unfreedom and inequality which Germany has been following. Not that communism and fascism are essentially the same. Fascism is the stage reached after communism has proved an illusion, and it has proved as much an illusion in Stalinist Russia as in pre-Hitler Germany' ... *Social Research* (New York), vol. VIII, no. 4, November 1941.-It deserves to be recalled in this connection that, whatever may have been his reasons, Hitler thought it expedient to declare in one of his public speeches as late as February 1941 that "basically National Socialism and Marxism are the same (28-31).

Now, we have so far been quite hard on the Marxists of the world, and I believe that criticism is justified, yet the similar criticism can be rightly laid at the feet of capitalists as well. The commoditization of all facets of life, including people and mass media in the search of greater and grander spectacles of wealth and the worship of the all-mighty American dollar. The unleashing of monstrous greed in the name of economic betterment. The intense pressure for success that drives some to madness and others to despair. These phenomena, while not peculiar to capitalism, are part of it and accentuated by it. I believe the problem was solution as put best by Dr. Chales Malek, President of the UN General Assembly, Greek Orthodox theologian and philosopher and, according to J. Vernon McGee, a real, believer:

I'm not sure your Western materialism is better than the Soviets'. If I were asked to choose between the dialectical materialism of the Soviets and the materialistic outlook on life and the practiced commercialism of the West, I'm not sure I would choose the Western brand of materialism at all. Every time I listen to an important radio broadcast which is repeatedly interrupted by an advertisement for some shoe polish or laxative or brand of marmalade, I have to say two or three prayers in order to remain human. Another sign of weakness, Christians are not speaking with conviction. Many Christians have become so worldly that one doubts whether their Christianity can resist the non-Christian and anti-Christian pressures. I could recite twenty or more signs of moral weakness in the Western world which are highly disturbing, weaknesses of people who ought to know better, people with a great tradition behind them, whose tradition alone can save them and the world ten times over if they understand it and live it and rise above their failures¹³.

While having now discussed the origins and character of Marxism and globalism, it is best to continue our analysis in the Post-War era, for this is where we see a dramatic acceleration of events. The following is broken into two sections; first an analysis of Europe and the rise of the superstate known as the European Union, and the second will be an analysis of globalism, its adherents, tenants, ideologies, and background forces, with a focus on the United States.

In the aftermath of WWII, Europe lay in shambles, the Soviet Union established a large foothold on the continent, and with Operation Unthinkable off the table (which was a plan to pre-emptively strike the Soviet Union in the wake of the German surrender), an iron calm settled over Europe. In the East was the Soviet Bloc, and in the West there was NATO. Many realized that the war that took place could never be allowed to happen again; and for that to happen there must be a united Europe, something which had been the dream of many political and intellectual leaders in Europe through the centuries. Yet the long

¹³ Quote taken from Dr. J. Vernon McGee's booklet, *Golden Bells and Pomegranates*. Full text can be found here: https://www.ttb.org/docs/default-source/booklets/golden-bells-and-pomegranates.pdf?sfvrsn=bca81c16_2

historical divides ran deep; too deep to traverse via traditional means.¹⁴ But money talks, and it was realized that the only way to unite Europe politically was to first unite it commercially.

This unification can be told through the lenses of three treaties: The Treaty of Paris in 1951, the Treaty of Rome in 1957, and the Maastricht Treaty in 1993. But all this was preceded by, and predicated on Schuman Declaration, a speech given by Robert Schuman, the French Minister of Europe and Foreign Affairs on May 9, 1950. These aims were to mark the birth of a united Europe, make war between member states impossible, encourage world peace, transform Europe in a "step by step" process leading to the unification of Europe democratically, unifying two political blocks separated by the Iron Curtain, to create the world's first supranational institution, to create the world's first international anti-cartel agency, to create a common market across the Community. It would, starting with the coal and steel sector, revitalize the whole European economy by similar community processes, and finally, it would improve the world economy and the developing countries, such as those in Africa¹⁵. The Treaty of Paris was the first step and commercial unification of Europe and created the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) and was signed by Germany, France, Italy, Belgium, the Netherlands, and Luxemburg (Missler 2003). The general intent was the unification of the coal and steel community in Europe to act as a foundation and blueprint for all that was to follow. It proved successful.

In the wake of the Treaty of Paris, the next milestone in European unification was the Treaty of Rome, signed in March of 1957. The same nations that signed the Treaty of Paris now created two more Pan-European consortiums: The European Atomic energy Agency (EAEC), and the European Economic Community (EEC). The EEC was created to erect a tariff wall around the member states and remove internal tariffs, a concept which persists today in the expanded European Union¹⁶. The Treaty of Rome also established the European Parliament, one of the main legislative bodies across Europe. Then in 1967 the merging continued with the creation of the European Community, and by 1986 a total of 12 European nations made up the Community¹⁷.

Then the next milestone of Europe occurred in June of 1993 with the Maastricht Treaty (named after the city it was signed in, Maastricht, Netherlands). The intent of the treaty was the creation of a common currency, judiciary, foreign policy, and military. In a series of interviews with those involved, "it became quite evident that the behind-the-scenes machinations to finesse the votes within each country to approve the Maastricht Treaty were highly organized by the international socialists and other proponents"¹⁸.

At this point most of us likely know the story and the progression of European to the present. The European Union is a powerful geopolitical and economic entity with eschatological implications yet to be seen, though clearly on the horizon and detailed in passages such as **Daniel 2** and **7**. But turning back to our own shores it is worth tracing the rise of globalism and the requisite decline of the United States, for these two go hand in hand, because to create a global system one must reduce or abolish the nation-state, and the United States as one of the premier nation-states thus becomes a prime target. I am indebted to the work of Dr. Cuddy for the following research¹⁹. What follows is a series of quotes (though I could have included many, many more) that will show the calculated and systematic march of globalism and American decline.

¹⁴ From Dr. Chuck Missler: <https://www.khouse.org/articles/2003/477/>

¹⁵ <https://www.schuman.info/proppurp.htm>

¹⁶ See 13.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Ibid.

¹⁹ The majority of the following quotes were taken from here: <https://www.khouse.org/articles/1997/90/print/>

1912 -- Colonel Edward M. House, a close advisor of President Woodrow Wilson, publishes *Phillip Dru: Administrator* in which he promotes "socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx."

In **1913**, prior to the passage of the Federal Reserve Act President Wilson's *The New Freedom* was published, in which he revealed: "Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the U. S., in the field of commerce and manufacturing, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."²⁰

December 15, 1922 -- The CFR endorses World Government in its magazine *Foreign Affairs*. Author Philip Kerr, states: "Obviously there is going to be no peace or prosperity for mankind as long as [the earth] remains divided into 50 or 60 independent states until some kind of international system is created...The real problem today is that of the world government."

1931 -- Students at the Lenin School of Political Warfare in Moscow are taught: "One day we shall start to spread the most theatrical peace movement the world has ever seen. The capitalist countries, stupid and decadent...will fall into the trap offered by the possibility of making new friends. Our day will come in 30 years or so...The bourgeoisie must be lulled into a false sense of security."

On **November 21, 1933**, President Franklin Roosevelt wrote a letter to Col. Edward Mandell House, President Woodrow Wilson's close advisor: "The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the larger centers has owned the Government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson..."

1932 -- *Plan for Peace* by American Birth Control League founder Margaret Sanger is published. She calls for coercive sterilization, mandatory segregation, and rehabilitative concentration camps for all "dysgenic stocks" including Blacks, Hispanics, American Indians and Catholics.

1934 -- *The Externalization of the Hierarchy* by Alice A. Bailey is published. Bailey is an occultist, whose works are channeled from a spirit guide, the Tibetan Master [demon spirit] Djwahl Kuhl. Bailey uses the phrase "points of light" in connection with a "New Group of World Servers" and claims that 1934 marks the beginning of "the organizing of the men and women...group work of a new order...[with] progress defined by service...the world of the Brotherhood...the Forces of Light...[and] out of the spoliation of all existing culture and civilization, the new world order must be built."

The book is published by the Lucis Trust, incorporated originally in New York as the Lucifer Publishing Company. Lucis Trust is a United Nations NGO and has been a major player at the recent U.N. summits. Later Assistant Secretary General of the U.N. Robert Mueller would credit the creation of his World Core Curriculum for education to the underlying teachings of Djwahl Kuhl via Alice Bailey's writings on the subject.

1942 -- The leftist Institute of Pacific Relations publishes *Post War Worlds* by P.E. Corbett: "World government is the ultimate aim...It must be recognized that the law of nations takes precedence over national law...The process will have to be assisted by the deletion of the nationalistic material employed in educational textbooks and its replacement by material explaining the benefits of wiser association."

1946 -- *The Teacher and World Government* by former editor of the *NEA Journal* (National Education Association) Joy Elmer Morgan is published. He says: "In the struggle to establish an adequate world

²⁰ The full text of President Wilson's *The New Freedom* can be found here: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/14811/14811-h/14811-h.htm>

government, the teacher...can do much to prepare the hearts and minds of children for global understanding and cooperation...At the very heart of all the agencies which will assure the coming of world government must stand the school, the teacher, and the organized profession."

1948 -- UNESCO president and Fabian Socialist, Sir Julian Huxley, calls for a radical eugenic policy in *UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy*. He states: "Thus, even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy of controlled human breeding will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake that much that is now unthinkable may at least become thinkable."

February 9, 1950 -- The Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee introduces Senate Concurrent Resolution 66 which begins: "Whereas, in order to achieve universal peace and justice, the present Charter of the United Nations should be changed to provide a true world government constitution."

1964 -- *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Handbook II* is published. Author Benjamin Bloom states: "...a large part of what we call 'good teaching' is the teacher's ability to attain affective objectives through challenging the students' fixed beliefs." His Outcome-Based Education (OBE) method of teaching would first be tried as Mastery Learning in Chicago schools. After five years, Chicago students' test scores had plummeted causing outrage among parents. OBE would leave a trail of wreckage wherever it would be tried and under whatever name it would be used. At the same time, it would become crucial to globalists for overhauling the education system to promote attitude changes among school students.

1966 -- President Clinton has publicly paid homage to the influence Professor Quigley had on his life. In Quigley's magnum opus *Tragedy and Hope* (1966)²¹, he states: "There does exist and has existed for a generation, an international...network which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical right believes the Communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies...but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known."

And from that same manuscript, "The powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world."

²¹ The full text version for the next two quotes can be found here: <https://ia800700.us.archive.org/7/items/pdfy-Sv4zfy4FhzwYgEZm/Carroll-Quigley-Tragedy-and-Hope-A-History-of-The-World-in-Our-Time.pdf>

1970 -- Education and the mass media promote world order. In *Thinking About A New World Order for the Decade 1990*, author Ian Baldwin, Jr. asserts that: "...the World Law Fund has begun a worldwide research and educational program that will introduce a new, emerging discipline -- world order -- into educational curricula throughout the world...and to concentrate some of its energies on bringing basic world order concepts into the mass media again on a worldwide level."

1973 -- *Humanist Manifesto II* is published: "The next century can be and should be the humanistic century...we stand at the dawn of a new age...a secular society on a planetary scale.... As non-theists we begin with humans not God, nature not deity...we deplore the division of humankind on nationalistic grounds.... Thus we look to the development of a system of world law and a world order based upon transnational federal government....The true revolution is occurring."

1975 -- In Congress, 32 Senators and 92 Representatives sign *A Declaration of Interdependence*, written by historian Henry Steele Commager. The Declaration states that: "we must join with others to bring forth a new world order...Narrow notions of national sovereignty must not be permitted to curtail that obligation." Congresswoman Marjorie Holt refuses to sign the Declaration saying: "It calls for the surrender of our national sovereignty to international organizations. It declares that our economy should be regulated by international authorities. It proposes that we enter a 'new world order' that would redistribute the wealth created by the American people."

1975 -- *Kissinger on the Couch* is published. Authors Phyllis Schlafly and former CFR member Chester Ward state: "Once the ruling members of the CFR have decided that the U.S. government should espouse a particular policy, the very substantial research facilities of the CFR are put to work to develop arguments, intellectual and emotional, to support the new policy and to confound, discredit, intellectually and politically, any opposition..."

1979 -- Barry Goldwater, retiring Republican Senator from Arizona, publishes his autobiography *With No Apologies*. He writes: "In my view The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power -- political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical. All this is to be done in the interest of creating a more peaceful, more productive world community. What the Trilateralists truly intend is the creation of a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nation-states involved. They believe the abundant materialism they propose to create will overwhelm existing differences. As managers and creators of the system they will rule the future."

1987 -- *The Secret Constitution and the Need for Constitutional Change* is sponsored in part by the Rockefeller Foundation. Some thoughts of author Arthur S. Miller are: "...a pervasive system of thought control exists in the United States...the citizenry is indoctrinated by employment of the mass media and the system of public education...people are told what to think about...the old order is crumbling...Nationalism should be seen as a dangerous social disease...A new vision is required to plan and manage the future, a global vision that will transcend national boundaries and eliminate the poison of nationalistic solutions...a new Constitution is necessary."

1989 -- Carl Bernstein's (Woodward and Bernstein of Watergate fame) book *Loyalties: A Son's Memoir* is published. His father and mother had been members of the Communist party. Bernstein's father tells his son about the book: "You're going to prove [Sen. Joseph] McCarthy was right, because all he was saying is that the system was loaded with Communists. And he was right...I'm worried about the kind of book you're going to write and about cleaning up McCarthy. The problem is that everybody said he was a liar; you're saying he was right...I agree that the Party was a force in the country."

1991 -- President Bush praises the New World Order in a State of Union Message: "What is at stake is more than one small country, it is a big idea -- a new world order...to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind...based on shared principles and the rule of law.... The illumination of a thousand points of light....The winds of change are with us now."

1993 -- Strobe Talbott receives the Norman Cousins Global Governance Award for his 1992 TIME article, *The Birth of the Global Nation* and in appreciation for what he has done "for the cause of global governance." President Clinton writes a letter of congratulation which states: "Norman Cousins worked for world peace and world government...Strobe Talbott's lifetime achievements as a voice for global harmony have earned him this recognition...He will be a worthy recipient of the Norman Cousins Global Governance Award. Best wishes...for future success."

Not only does President Clinton use the specific term, "world government," but he also expressly wishes the WFA "future success" in pursuing world federal government. Talbott proudly accepts the award, but says the WFA should have given it to the other nominee, Mikhail Gorbachev.

May 4, 1993, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) president Leslie Gelb said on *The Charlie Rose Show* that: "...you [Charlie Rose] had me on [before] to talk about the New World Order! I talk about it all the time. It's one world now. The Council [CFR] can find, nurture, and begin to put people in the kinds of jobs this country needs. And that's going to be one of the major enterprises of the Council under me."

So, to summarize. The march toward globalism is, on the surface, an attempt to unite the world in peace by the removal of national boundaries that promote war, the control of population and other factors leading to unsustainable exponentialities within the larger world system, and the economic control and concertation of capital for planned projects and resource distribution on a global scale without pointless completion or waste which prevents Man from achieving all he can. This march has happened regardless of political affiliation, and from all corners of the globe, and yet all acts have been directed towards the same end. Just as the problems of capital go beyond economic systems, so too do the problems of government go beyond political affiliations. There is something more going on.

But then, what is the goal of all this, what is the end-game for Satan and his forces. Well, know this, Satan has read his Bible (**Luke 4:1-13**), he knows what is going on (probably more than you or I do). The problem is that he is in rebellion against God and, as such, will do all in his power to, 1. Avoid his ultimate defeat and judgement in **Revelation 20** and 2. He will continue with his initial aim of being equal to or greater than God, as stated in **Isaiah 14:13-15**. Nowhere in Scripture do we see this change and, thus, we can interpret his actions on earth as working towards that aim, for we are told that not only is Satan intelligent, but that his is the pinnacle of intelligence (**Ezekiel 28:12**). He attempted to disrupt the First Coming of Christ and his redemptive sacrifice on the Cross, just as he will attempt to disrupt the Second Coming of Christ and the establishment of His Kingdom. We see the first hint of these plans in **Genesis 3:14-15**, later as more of God's plan is revealed Satan has a chance to narrow his attacks; examples include, but are not limited to, the slaying of male children by the Egyptians (**Exodus 1**); the attempts to keep Israel out of the Promised Land as detailed in **Joshua**, attacks against the male heirs of the Davidic line once it became clear Messiah would come from David, the slaying of the children as ordered by Herod (**Matthew 2:16-18**), and I would argue even the taunts against Christ on the Cross (**Matthew 27:42**) for if He had gotten down then you and I would be lost forever. Likewise, Satan has not abandoned his ambitions or his plan, he has just entered a new phase of it. One thing we know from prophecy (which I am certain Satan has read and understands) is that when Christ returns, He returns for, and at the request of, the believing remnant of Israel (**Hosea 5:15-6:3**). Therefore, should Satan wipe out this remnant or, better yet, the entirety of Jewry, then it is impossible for these prophecies to be fulfilled and either, 1. This means that God will not come back or, 2. It invalidates God's claims of His own Godhood for God himself declared that He cannot lie or change (**Numbers 23:19**). However, we find a

startling thing in Scripture, that during the Tribulation the people of the Earth will not have an ignorance of God, but rather will be in open defiance of Him (**Revelation 6:16**), and the ultimate extension of this is given in **Psalm 2**, and **Revelation 19:19**.

Psalm 2:1-3: “Why do the nations rage, and the people plot a vain thing? The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the LORD and against His Anointed saying, ‘Let us break Their bonds in pieces and cast away Their cords from us.’”

Revelation 19:19-21: “And I saw the beast, the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against Him who sat on the horse and against His army. Then the beast was captured, and with him the false prophet who worked signs in his presence, by which he deceived those who received the mark of the beast and those who worshiped his image. These two were cast alive into the lake of fire burning with brimstone. And the rest were killed with the sword which proceeded from the mouth of Him who sat on the horse. And all the birds were filled with their flesh.”

How foolish! However, it does explain the motivations at play here. If Satan is to accomplish this bold plan, then it is most fitting that he would need the maximum amount of resources, manpower, and technology at his disposal for the destruction of the nation of Israel and the thwarting of Christ’s return by overt force. Thus, we can see that a global government, religion, and currency headed by a single man that is his personal representative on the earth (**Revelation 13**), and likely coupled with demonic phenomenology gives Satan and his followers the best chance to succeed in this task. Satan will also know the where and the when of the return of Christ as given by **Zechariah 14:4** and **Daniel 9:26-27**, respectively. And Satan can thus marshal his forces to that time and place for what he supposes to be an ultimate showdown; i.e. the Mount of Olives three and one half years after the Beast declares himself to be God in the rebuilt temple in Jerusalem.

So then, what does this mean for us. First, recall that we are not to be ignorant of the times around us (**Matthew 24**). While we may not know the day or hour of His return, we do know the season by the signs. While there has always been a generation of Believers that knew Christ could return at any moment, it is only in the Post-War era that all the pieces are in place for this to fulfill the whole of Scripture literally. Israel is back in the land as spoken of in **Isaiah 66:8** and **Ezekiel 37**, technology has progressed to allow for the instant transmission of images around the world as spoken of in **Revelation 11:9**, the weapons at our disposal mirror those in and **Ezekiel 38-39**, and we see the accelerated process of a larger global system which aligns with that spoken of in **Daniel 2** and **7**, and **Revelation 17-18**

Second, this should act as a reminder that the true battle is a spiritual one (**Ephesians 6:12**). We may look at the world around us and bemoan its condition, cry out for the sin in it and for the lost souls and march blissfully into damnation. But we must recall that it is not by some policy, political movement, or even by some grand agenda that we will solve the world’s problems, rather it is by prayer and the power of God. For the problem is a sin problem and anything of the flesh cannot solve this; it is only with the Spirit that there can be hope and progress because it is only God that can purge sin from us. No, the battle is won in prayer and we deceive ourselves when we first step out for physical action without a leading by the Spirit.

Third, it is a reminder that we have but a little time and we should run the race well (**Hebrews 12:1**). Recall that we are told to “redeem the times, for the days are evil” (**Ephesians 5:16**). I do not need to tell you of the evil in the world, you know it all too well. Instead, we should use this as motivation ensure that we are doing all we can for God, in our walk, in our life, and with our family. Wherever our ministry is and with whatever gifts God has given us, do all for Him. For we shall soon appear before the Judgement Seat of Christ to receive the rewards for our life and determine their character. I, for one, do not want this all to be for nothing. We all want to toil and have it mean

something. Let us then examine ourselves and see if what we are doing is for Him and by His direction, or if it is for some other reason and by our own direction. It would be a horrible thing to work a lifetime and receive nothing for it, and yet I am certain there will be many Saved that will have no reward, as we seen a hint of in **2 Corinthians 5:9-11**.

However, everything that I have said only applies if you are Saved, if you are in Christ. If you are not Saved then you have a whole different problem. First, I contend that you cannot understand anything that is happening in the world and will be continually flummoxed upon analysis of it. For the things we see in the world are manifestations of a larger spiritual war (**Daniel 10:20**). Second, if you are not in Christ, then you are allowing yourself to be set up for the great deception as spoken of in **2 Thessalonians 2:11-12**: “And for this reason God will send them strong delusion, that they should believe the lie, that they all may be condemned who did not believe the truth but had pleasure in unrighteousness.” It is common now to speak of lies, deception, misinformation, etc. within the context of the media or life in general, but notice that this passage speaks of “the lie.” It is a definite article, and that the lie is so great that ‘if it were possible’ it would deceive even the Elect (**Matthew 24:24**). Third, do not expect things to get better, not really. History shows a downward trend and progression for humanity, and as we edge ever closer to the return of the Lord to the earth things will only get worse; for it is as He said, ‘that unless those days be shortened, no flesh shall survive’ (**Matthew 24:22**).

There is a way out, a way of escape, but there is only one way, and that is Salvation offered by Christ and only Christ, for He declared “I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me.” (**John 14:6**). So let me be very clear on this point: I am not saying other religions are false, I am saying that other religions are active Satanic deceptions meant to keep you from coming to Christ. Satan will do everything he possibly can to continue with his initial plan of being equal to God, and if you are not in Christ, then you are aiding him in that plan. The result, from an eschatological standpoint of being in Christ is His definite promise to remove you before the time called the Great Tribulation for He again declares “For God hath not appointed us to wrath, but to obtain salvation by our Lord Jesus Christ.” (**1 Thessalonians 5:9**). This Gospel of Christ is simple, as Paul tells us in **1 Corinthians 15:3-4**, “For I delivered to you first of all that which I also received: that Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures, and that He was buried, and that He rose again the third day according to the Scriptures,” and in **Romans 10:9**, “if you confess with your mouth the Lord Jesus and believe in your heart that God has raised Him from the dead, you will be saved. God has only ever offered one way out, but He has offered a way out. If you think that is madness or narrow-minded or too simple, then God declares, “For the message of the cross is foolishness to those who are perishing, but to us who are being saved it is the power of God... God has chosen the foolish things of the world to put to shame the wise, and God has chosen the weak things of the world to put to shame the things which are mighty; and the base things of the world and the things which are despised God has chosen, and the things which are not, to bring to nothing the things that are, that no flesh should glory in His presence.” (**1 Corinthians 1:18, 27-29**). But if that makes sense to you, then we would love to talk with you after this.