

02/11/22

Welcome / Prayer

Highlighting an interview between Man In America (Seth) and 24-y veteran border patrol agent J.J. Carrell.

<https://www.brighteon.com/f9313bd6-c127-4b30-8aa2-d3a3e8393e7b>

- Federal gov violating federal law
- Border patrol agents are disparaged / “you don’t care” / “I’m 2 yrs from my pension”
- Would have hard time convincing Americans that a Football stadium full enters every year
- In fact it’s every 8 days
- Round numbers – 100,000 people / 8 days = 12,500/day which agrees with a new immigration bill limiting crossings to 5,000/day, even NBC reports over 10,000 / day.

Point –

1) Your nation is Babylon under Belshazzar who had no idea the overthrowers surrounded them

Dan 5:25 'MENE, MENE, TEKEL, UPHARSIN.' 26 "This is the interpretation of the message: 'MENE'--God has numbered your kingdom and put an end to it. 27 " 'TEKEL'--you have been weighed on the scales and found deficient. 28 " 'PERES'--your kingdom has been divided and given over to the Medes and Persians." 29 Then Belshazzar gave orders, and they clothed Daniel with purple and put a necklace of gold around his neck, and issued a proclamation concerning him that he now had authority as the third ruler in the kingdom.

30 That same night Belshazzar the Chaldean king was slain.

2) Gary’s daughter’s friend being t-boned, sued for ‘being there’, ins settled out of court

Questioned the patrol – said of 97 of the last people he pulled over 4 had licenses

“What CAN you do with them? I gave them a ticket which won’t be paid”

Point:

When we drive we all generally assume a lot –

- Other drivers are at least semi-responsible Americans,
- they can read signs,
- they have licenses,
- They have insurance
- Their cars are titled / they pay taxes
- thus they care about *not* wrecking

When you get to an intersection red light & come to a stop, how do you know when you can go?

No longer by looking at green light, but by looking at green light and truly scanning the horizon for danger

- - -

## Review

We began our series discussing perspective

First, that the Song was written in oriental fashion, very unlike western plots.

- It’s more of a mosaic you have to take in over a period than a linear story that begins with the beginning & ends with the end
- (so give tools to the Holy Spirit to work in you – read it several times)
- Others do things differently than we do – consider Job’s friends,
  - Job 2: 13 Then they sat down on the ground with him for seven days and seven nights with no one speaking a word to him, for they saw that his pain was very great.
  - We would text them, “Praying for you” then go out to eat

Second, there are also many personal takeaways / perspectives in this series.

- The Song is designed to help people see how much their creator loves them
- Tendency I've seen in Men's Group –
  - A point is given
  - The point is then hacked on with everyone's differing view
  - The result is a pile of disconnected facts void of any real encouragement, hunger or takeaways
  - Gave the example of Wisdom
  - Alfred Plummer – died 1926: It is as if some beautiful object, which they were learning to understand and admire, had been scored all over with marks by those who had been disputing as to the meaning and relation of the details
- When any group discussion descends into wrangling how my views are better than your views, it becomes a KATASTROPE for the hearer

Point – use discernment in ANY group discussion as to how it will EDIFY the body.

We left off about how  
the language in Song is spiritual  
Many say this should not have been canonized  
They are thinking in terms of the flesh

Someone asked, "Is this a real story or is it an allegory"

- Later said commentators are that it's ONE or THE OTHER.

This gets into types (whole other field!)

- Marriage is a type (Eph 5:31-32 "this mystery is great...")
- Methodical literalists will always miss the layers of expressed love God gave in His Word

Consider – Titus 1:15 to the pure all things are pure

Solomon – how many wives & how many concubines?

Yet he is – in this fashion – a type of Christ.

- In the flesh, that's corrupt / disgusting because
  - God designed man to become 1 with the woman
  - A man cannot give himself fully to more than one woman.
  - Lust causes a man to want to sleep with many women
  - Carnally speaking – how would you feel if you had to share your husband with 1,000 other women?
- But Christ absolutely does give Himself wholly to not just one believer, but all believers over all time!
  - Christ is the only one who can truly love 1 Cor 13 his Bride of many (b)rides.
  - Isn't it interesting that we are the bride of Christ but our greatest desire is to share Him with others?

They (same people) would definitely struggle with Jesus' spiritual language:

Some think it shouldn't even be canonized for its liberal sexuality. Consider Jesus' own words:

John 6: .<sup>51</sup> "I am the living bread that came down out of heaven; if anyone eats of this bread, he will live forever; and the bread also which I will give for the life of the world is My flesh."<sup>52</sup> Then the Jews began to argue with one another, saying, "How can this man give us His flesh to eat?"<sup>53</sup> So Jesus said to them, "Truly, truly, I say to you, unless you eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink His blood, you have no life in yourselves."<sup>54</sup> "He who eats My flesh and drinks My blood has eternal life, and I will raise him up on the last day."<sup>55</sup> "For My flesh is true food, and My blood is true drink."<sup>56</sup> "He who eats My flesh and drinks My blood abides in Me, and I in him."<sup>57</sup> "As the living Father sent Me, and I live because of the Father, so he who eats Me, he also will live because of Me."<sup>58</sup> "This is the bread which came down out of heaven; not as the fathers ate and died; he who eats this bread will live forever."

Cannibalism?? What the heck?

The disciples (among Pharisees also) grumbled about this too...

John 6:61b "Does this cause you to stumble?"<sup>62</sup> "What then if you see the Son of Man ascending to where He was before?"<sup>63</sup> "It is the Spirit who gives life; the flesh profits nothing; the words that I have spoken to you are spirit and are life.

They are spirit – this is not to be taken literally (obviously)  
They are life – designed to give life to the soul.

Why do we eat?

What happens when you eat?

You can feed the body sugary / processed foods (Food Stuffs hahaha) or healthy living foods

What does, "You are what you eat" mean?

Law of sowing and reaping –

**Ga 6:7** Do not be deceived, God is not mocked; for whatever a man sows, this he will also reap.

Did anyone believe he was literally talking about wheat vs oats or corn?

So let's exercise our spiritual muscles:

So then to what areas of life can this 'agriculture' allegory be applied?

- Emotional –
  - you're a jerk to people, you'll get people being curt in return
  - Or, show yourself friendly, you'll mostly have immediate reciprocity
- Intellectually –
  - you sow spongebob in your head and you will be unable to read a novel
  - Or, You sow the word (meditate), stay quiet & read a good book, your attention & comprehension will be sharp / much sharper
- Relationally –
  - You sow to yourself (selfish) - you'll never have enough, and not understand the needs of others / they likely won't be there for you when you need
  - Or, You sow into others lives, you'll be satisfied b/c this is how God is, and you'll likely always have an abundance
- Spiritually – to the flesh = corruption / to the spirit = eternal life
  - sow your mind with the latest social media theology, you'll come up cursing God that He'd let you go through some inconvenient hardship
  - Or, sow God's eternal love message into your mind AND obey it, the Holy Spirit will engraft it into you such that your personality will reflect God's unique work in a unique you

Some think we are not allowed to read this as anything but literal – take scripture literally always until you just can't, and clearly this is a love story of courtship.

**Law** of Mutual Resemblance – where 2 or more entities share similar characteristics/likenesses/behaviors/traits etc – denoting a likeness.

Eph 5: 25 Husbands, love your wives, just as Christ also loved the church and gave Himself up for her,<sup>26</sup> so that He might sanctify her, having cleansed her by the washing of water with the word,<sup>27</sup> that He might present to Himself the church in all her glory, having no spot or wrinkle or any such thing; but that she would be holy and blameless.<sup>28</sup> So husbands ought also to love their own wives as their own bodies. He who loves his own wife loves himself;<sup>29</sup> for no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes and cherishes it, just as Christ also *does* the church,<sup>30</sup> because we are members of His body.<sup>31</sup> FOR THIS

REASON A MAN SHALL LEAVE HIS FATHER AND MOTHER AND SHALL BE JOINED TO HIS WIFE, AND THE TWO SHALL BECOME ONE FLESH. [32 This mystery is great; but I am speaking with reference to Christ and the church.](#)

Is this in isolation or are there more allegories in the scripture? You could get lost looking for them. Any examples?

If this is still a problem, cover Galatians otherwise move on for the sake of learning

Another deep example of an allegorical truth, one that is missing from MOST mainline churches is the old and new covenant.

Not understanding this *confuses* God's people into "Jew" worship / Support Israel "no matter what" even though

- In Christ there is no Jew/Greek/Slave/Free
- Israel the country & the flesh-and-blood occupants are somehow magically "God's chosen people" but you are not, even though
  - **1Pe 2:9** But **you** are A CHOSEN RACE, A royal PRIESTHOOD, A HOLY NATION, A PEOPLE FOR God's OWN POSSESSION, so that you may proclaim the excellencies of Him who has called you out of darkness into His marvelous light;
  - where "You" (above, 2:9) is any Christ follower
    - 1 Peter, an apostle of Jesus Christ, To those who reside as aliens, scattered throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia, who are chosen 2 according to the foreknowledge of God the Father, by the sanctifying work of the Spirit, to obey Jesus Christ and be sprinkled with His blood
- This demonic doctrine being based on Darby haunted castle doctrine
- Spread across the world by a convicted scoundrel & adulterer funded by the Rothschild family
- Taken into our churches and generations later generates \$million\$ by Left Behind series

#### Galatians 4:21-31

21 Tell me, you who want to be under law, do you not listen to the law? <sup>22</sup> For it is written that Abraham had two sons, one by the bondwoman and one by the free woman. <sup>23</sup> But the son by the bondwoman was born according to the flesh, and the son by the free woman through the promise. <sup>24</sup> This is allegorically speaking, for these *women* are two covenants: one *proceeding* from Mount Sinai bearing children who are to be slaves; she is Hagar. <sup>25</sup> Now this Hagar is Mount Sinai in Arabia and corresponds to the present Jerusalem, for she is in slavery with her children. <sup>26</sup> But the Jerusalem above is free; she is our mother. <sup>27</sup> For it is written, "REJOICE, BARREN WOMAN WHO DOES NOT BEAR; BREAK FORTH AND SHOUT, YOU WHO ARE NOT IN LABOR; FOR MORE NUMEROUS ARE THE CHILDREN OF THE DESOLATE THAN OF THE ONE WHO HAS A HUSBAND." <sup>28</sup> And you brethren, like Isaac, are children of promise. <sup>29</sup> But as at that time he who was born according to the flesh persecuted him *who was born* according to the Spirit, so it is now also. <sup>30</sup> But what does the Scripture say? "CAST OUT THE BONDWOMAN AND HER SON, FOR THE SON OF THE BONDWOMAN SHALL NOT BE AN HEIR WITH THE SON OF THE FREE WOMAN." <sup>31</sup> So then, brethren, we are not children of a bondwoman, but of the free woman.

Note

- He is not saying, "What happened under Abraham & Moses aren't true"
- He IS saying, "what happened can be viewed allegorically"
- Nobody is saying this was the *intent* of the characters or author,
- but it DOES speak to God's mosaic of Scripture man could not have thought of

He delineates two covenants – (for us) the old covenant and the new covenant

"Hagar is Mount Sinai in Arabia and corresponds to present Jerusalem, for she is in slavery with her children"

Hagar: What do we know happened on Mount Sinai?

- Moses received the 10 commandments

**Sarah: What do we know about the other covenant then?**

- And you brethren, like Isaac, are children of promise

<sup>29</sup> But as at that time he who was born according to the flesh persecuted him *who was born* according to the Spirit, so it is now also.

Consider who chased after Paul, all the apostles and killed Jesus

1 Thessalonians 2:14b for you also endured the same sufferings at the hands of your own countrymen, even as they *did* from the Jews, 15 (NASB) who both killed the Lord Jesus and the prophets, and drove us out. They are not pleasing to God, but hostile to all men,

(I'd opine that they're hostile to all men not because they're God's chosen people, but because they made a cult out of their idolatry which continues to this day in Zionism, it's a religion!! )

**Paul** is agonizing over lost Israelites (Jews according to the flesh)

Romans 9 <sup>6</sup> But *it is* not as though the word of God has failed. For they are not all Israel who are *descended* from Israel; <sup>7</sup> nor are they all children because they are Abraham's descendants, but: "THROUGH ISAAC YOUR DESCENDANTS WILL BE NAMED." <sup>8</sup> That is, it is not the children of the flesh who are children of God, but the children of the promise are regarded as descendants. <sup>9</sup> For this is the word of promise: "AT THIS TIME I WILL COME, AND SARAH SHALL HAVE A SON."

(skip this??)

The allegory even extends to "being Jewish"

Romans 2: 25 For indeed circumcision is of value if you practice the Law; but if you are a transgressor of the Law, your circumcision has become uncircumcision. <sup>26</sup> So if the uncircumcised man keeps the requirements of the Law, will not his uncircumcision be regarded as circumcision? <sup>27</sup> And he who is physically uncircumcised, if he keeps the Law, will he not judge you who though having the letter *of the Law* and circumcision are a transgressor of the Law? <sup>28</sup> For he is not a Jew who is one outwardly, nor is circumcision that which is outward in the flesh. <sup>29</sup> But he is a Jew who is one inwardly; and circumcision is that which is of the heart, by the Spirit, not by the letter; and his praise is not from men, but from God.

Why this matters? Israel can break every Nuremberg / Geneva war rule and if you call them out, you are censored and are anti-Semitic.

They are not a race of real Jews, they are a radical religious group who was and is still hostile toward Christ REGARDLESS of their genetic makeup which is yet another twist.

For how can one be anti-Semitic when he speaks against someone who traces their lineage to Japheth?

For more information, read books about

Zionism,

the eastern-European Ashkenazi from (south Russia / Ukraine)

The formation of the nation of Israel – how it happened:

- was the modern state of Israel made like Isaac or Ishmael?

Enough about this. Educate yourself or you'll find yourself in the same camp as those who put Christ on the cross.

THIS WAS EXHAUSTING, HERE IS THE POINT - In the bible

- there are many allegories,
- there are many types,

that are overlooked in the church often because *they require spiritual understanding* gained only through habitual exercise in the things of God.

Habitual exercise in the things of God only take place when there is a longing for Jesus.

Consider the above – most get inflamed when you bring up the subject purely out of a pavlovian response.

Example: You're discussing ANYTHING contrary to main stream media surrounding Israel, and your conversation mate hears this:

“bla bla bla bla bla bla bla bla JEW bla bla bla bla bla bla”

and their response is

“Antisemite!”

Parrots are taught knowledge – what to say.

Parrots have no understanding and can therefore not die for a cause because they can't understand this depth.

These same *kind of* people would view the Song of Solomon as erotic or risqué and freak out when you ask to group-discuss it

This same lack of longing for Jesus is expressed in the Song of Solomon through persecution and (above examples) as the Daughters of Zion, and the Watchmen.

- They are the friends of the Bride
- They serve God from a distance
- They do not understand intimacy, nor do they want it
- They are satisfied in their service routines & provisions God gives them

A longing for “more”, or for Intimacy is the reason you are interested in Song of Solomon

- They will pinch at you when you start down this road
- Thus, they do not have habits of exercise in spiritual things

Example of habit of exercise in spiritual things –

At the outset I gave instruction to read Song every day, even if you don't understand it.

- Those who are truly seeking intimacy with God / Brides (and if you trust that I'm not going to lead u nowhere) will exercise this 8 chapters regularly throughout the study
- The Daughters / Watchmen – they get a certain taste but they don't experience Jesus like the one who is seeking.
- Remember the Peace of God vs the God of the Peace? More on this later.

Let's look at the story's background and our cast of Characters

- Necessary to fully understand the allegory of the Song

THE BRIDE - ABISHAG

1 Kings 1: <sup>1</sup> Now King David was old, advanced in age; and they covered him with clothes, but he could not keep warm.

<sup>2</sup> So his servants said to him, "Let them seek a young virgin for my lord the king, and let her attend the king and become his nurse; and let her lie in your bosom, that my lord the king may keep warm." <sup>3</sup> So they searched for a beautiful girl throughout all the territory of Israel, and found Abishag the Shunammite, and brought her to the king. <sup>4</sup> The girl was very beautiful; and she became the king's nurse and served him, but the king did not cohabit with her.

<https://bibleatlas.org/shunem.htm>

(Map slide)

The sounds for “n” and “l” in many oriental languages are hard to distinguish and in transliteration can be interchanged easily

Shunem is in the territory of Issachar (Jos 19:18), and in the plain of Jezreel (1Sa 28:4), near Mount Gilboa. As Eusebius, describing its position carefully, calls it "Sulem," and as this variation of name is confirmed by its ready identification with the modern village of Solam, it has been conjectured (see Smith's Dictionary of the Bible,

SHULAMITE), ingeniously and not improbably, that Abishag is the "fair Shulamite" of the Song of Solomon (1Ki 6:13).

Note –

- “[Shulam](#)/Shunem” about 8 miles south of Nazareth. **And what’s Nazareth known for?**
- Modern settlement = Sulam, in Jezreel Valley where Megiddo is, (battle of Armageddon?)
- King David & King Solomon owned large estates there with vineyards, spice gardens, and fruit orchards.
- The Royal Family farms and sheep herds were kept there by tenants paid out of the King’s treasury

**After** (Clinton slide) David died, Abishag was sent back home.

- This is basically a dishonor for her entire family who used to enjoy special privileges; no longer
- She was disgraced. Though not having cohabitated with David, looked upon as a King’s concubine.
- And what could she say? “I did not have sex with that woman”? (Illus. Clinton LOL)

**This** is expressed somewhat in 1:5

<sup>5</sup> "I am black but lovely, O daughters of Jerusalem, Like the tents of Kedar, Like the curtains of Solomon. <sup>6</sup> "Do not stare at me because I am swarthy, For the sun has burned me.

Tents of Kedar – where shepherds lived, coarse & never whitened, weather beaten, discolored thru use

- “but lovely” – no doubt Solomon’s curtains were nice
- She knew she had done no wrong despite what others might think.

**Upon** arrival home, was sent into the vineyard to work. Not exactly a shining example of royalty.

**6b My mother's sons were angry with me; They made me caretaker of the vineyards, But I have not taken care of my own vineyard.**

“Do not look upon me as an example”,

feels hypocritical because is tending to others’ vineyards but failing to take care of her own

In the middle of this mixture she finds she’s attracted to a mysterious young shepherd who is interested in her. He is working in the field next to hers. (what a coincidence hahaha)

Typology:

Each of us have 24 h in a day. How we spend that is determined by the level of wisdom we possess.

- The non / barely-praying Christian who accomplishes all the tasks laid out for her by the Church or even her own ministry is this type
- She cares for the vineyards of others but has neglected her own
- She is hardly an example for others to follow because she knows her own emptiness inside / that gnawing question “Is there not more to this life?”