



SIGNS OF THE TIMES

News Articles for Episode 435

[Egypt warned Netanyahu of attack days before Oct. 7, after two previous warnings — report | The Times of Israel.com](#)

#bibleprophecy, #netanyahu, #egypt, #oct 7, #attack

Sep 28, 2026

Intel chief Abbas Kamel called PM in early October, having already warned officials that Gaza was a tinderbox, WSJ reports; premier has denied receiving warnings from Egypt, UAE

Egypt's intelligence chief Abbas Kamel called Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu days before the October 7, 2023, Hamas attack to warn him that the terror group, then the Gaza Strip's de facto government, could be poised to attack, [The Wall Street Journal](#) reported on Sunday.

The call followed two earlier Egyptian warnings, the second of which was delivered in person by Kamel, who flew to Israel in late September and met with Israeli officials, the report said, corroborating a Friday article in [The Atlantic](#).

During that visit, Kamel warned that "the situation in Gaza was dire and could blow up in everyone's faces," in the words of the Journal report, which cited unnamed officials in an unidentified Arab government.

But Israeli officials dismissed the warnings, telling Kamel the situation was under control. This prompted the October call to Netanyahu's office, the Journal reported, adding that Cairo continued to issue warnings up to two days before the attack.

The latest article came just two weeks after a bombshell report in the Haaretz newspaper that said United Arab Emirates President Mohammed bin Zayed had [called Netanyahu personally](#) in late September to warn him of an impending Hamas threat, and that the two had spoken for some 45 minutes.

None of the warnings contained specifics regarding the timing or magnitude of the planned assault.

Netanyahu has repeatedly denied that he received any warnings, from the UAE or from Egypt, about an impending threat from Hamas. He has regularly blamed Israeli security officials for the failure of October 7, and asserted that if he had been woken in the early hours of that day, when security chiefs discussed concerning signs coming from Gaza, he would have ordered swift action and prevented the massacre.

An Emirati source has confirmed to The Times of Israel that the warning call from bin Zayed did take place.

The Prime Minister's Office did not respond to the Journal's request for comment, nor did the foreign ministries of Egypt or the United Arab Emirates.

According to the Journal, Egyptian officials had first warned Israel in June 2023 about the general volatility of the situation with Gaza, advising the Jewish state to resume negotiations with Hamas for a hostage-prisoner deal. Before October 7 of that year, when 251 hostages were taken from Israel, the terror group had been holding two Israeli citizens who had entered Gaza of their own accord and the remains of two IDF soldiers.

The breakdown in those negotiations was what led Yahya Sinwar, the group's leader in Gaza, to threaten an "earthquake." Sufian Abu Zaida, an intermediary in the talks, has confirmed he [relayed the threat](#) to Israeli security officials, as reported by Haaretz. He reportedly also informed Abu Dhabi, leading to bin Zayed's call to Netanyahu.

The September warnings from Arab allies came amid riots on the Gaza border. Israel sought to quell the riots with concessions to the Strip's Hamas government, including more permits for day laborers to enter Israel. After the concessions, [the border calmed down](#).

The border riots erupted amid backchannel Israeli negotiations with Saudi Arabia over a potential normalization deal. Amid the warnings, [Netanyahu pushed back](#) against security officials who called for assassinating Hamas leaders as a deterrent, reportedly because he was concerned it would jeopardize the talks with Riyadh.

Yoav Gallant, who was defense minister at the time of the attack, [told Army Radio](#) on Sunday that he had only learned of the Emirati and Egyptian warnings from the recent media reports, and said that if senior security officials had been privy to the information, they might have made different decisions.

Netanyahu, who is seeking to retain the premiership after the October 27 election, has refused to establish a [state commission of inquiry](#) – Israel's highest investigative authority – into the October 7 disaster, arguing that an independent panel appointed by the Supreme Court would be biased against the government. He has argued instead for a panel appointed by lawmakers, with its mandate and scope set by politicians.

[AI And The Coming Argument For A One-World Government | Prophecy News Watch.com](#)

#bibleprophecy, #ai, #one world government, #control, #crises, #warning

Sep 29, 2026

For generations, Christians reading biblical prophecy have wrestled with a basic practical question: How could the nations of the world ever surrender enough sovereignty for anything resembling a truly global system of government to emerge?

Perhaps the answer isn't that humanity will suddenly fall in love with global government.

Perhaps humanity will become afraid of something it believes only global government can control.

That possibility has become considerably more interesting as artificial intelligence races forward. And billionaire technology investor Peter Thiel--who has spent considerable time publicly discussing the Antichrist, Armageddon and the dangers of global governance--has now made a striking observation.

Asked by Mathias Döpfner what it would actually take to slow the international AI race, Thiel said meaningful restraint would require extraordinary worldwide cooperation.

"In theory, you could slow it down if you had genuine, deep cooperation across the whole world," Thiel said.

But then came the important part.

Such cooperation, he argued, would require something resembling "a one world government with real teeth, real force."

Thiel immediately warned that such a solution could be worse than the problem itself.

That should get our attention.

Because AI may be creating something historically unusual: a global problem that governments eventually conclude cannot be solved by national governments.

And here is the deeper danger.

AI could simultaneously create the crisis used to justify global government--and provide the technological machinery necessary to operate it.

The Problem No Country Can Solve Alone

Imagine Washington decides tomorrow that advanced AI development has become too dangerous.

America imposes strict limits on computing power, advanced chips and AI training.

What happens if China doesn't?

Or Russia?

Or another country?

Or a private organization operating beyond American jurisdiction?

The competitive pressure becomes enormous. No major power wants to stop developing a technology that could determine future military superiority, intelligence capabilities, economic dominance and scientific advancement while its rivals continue racing ahead.

Thiel himself raised essentially this problem. Western restrictions could simply leave competitors--particularly China--continuing their development.

That means genuinely stopping or significantly slowing AI would require more than American legislation.

It would require global cooperation.

But cooperation isn't enough if countries can cheat.

Eventually someone must inspect.

Someone must monitor.

Someone must enforce.

And suddenly the discussion has moved from international cooperation toward international authority.

That is precisely where Thiel's phrase becomes so important: a global authority with "real teeth" and "real force."

The Crisis Changes. The Argument Remains

Humanity has already heard versions of this argument.

Climate change crosses borders.

Pandemics cross borders.

Nuclear weapons threaten everyone.

Financial crises spread internationally.

Biological weapons could affect the entire planet.

And now artificial intelligence may eventually present another version of the same proposition: the threat is global, therefore the solution must also be global.

But AI is different in one particularly important respect.

The technology needed to monitor AI could create an infrastructure capable of monitoring humanity itself.

Consider what serious global AI enforcement might eventually require.

Authorities could demand knowledge of who possesses advanced processors, where massive computing clusters are operating, what models are being trained, how much computing power organizations possess and whether companies or governments are secretly developing prohibited systems.

That could require unprecedented visibility into data centers, communications networks, financial transactions, semiconductor supply chains and digital infrastructure.

Now combine that with increasingly sophisticated AI surveillance.

Facial recognition.

Digital identity.

Automated financial monitoring.

Behavioral analysis.

Massive databases.

Algorithmic censorship.

Predictive policing.

Centralized digital payment systems.

None of these technologies independently constitutes the prophetic system described in Revelation. Christians should be careful about declaring every technological development the fulfillment of a particular prophecy.

But neither should we ignore what is happening before our eyes.

The technological architecture necessary for extraordinary centralized control is becoming increasingly conceivable.

Thiel Has Been Warning About This For Years

What makes Thiel's latest remarks particularly fascinating is his previous discussion of the Antichrist.

In his public conversations and 2025 lectures, Thiel explored the possibility that fear of existential catastrophe could become the justification for unprecedented global political authority.

His framework presents a disturbing dilemma.

Humanity becomes terrified of Armageddon--nuclear war, runaway technology, biological catastrophe or some other existential threat--and eventually accepts enormous centralized power from whoever promises to prevent it.

In other words, global government doesn't necessarily arrive because humanity suddenly embraces the idea.

It arrives because humanity becomes convinced that the alternative is catastrophe.

That possibility should sound familiar to students of biblical prophecy.

Revelation describes a future system possessing extraordinary political and economic control. Revelation 13 famously describes a system in which participation in economic life--buying and selling--becomes connected to allegiance to the Beast.

For most of history, people could understand the theological warning while struggling to imagine the practical mechanics.

How could any authority monitor billions of people?

How could economic participation actually be controlled?

How could individuals scattered across continents be identified, tracked and restricted?

Those questions are becoming easier to answer.

AI Could Be Both The Emergency And The Enforcement System

This is perhaps the most important point.

Usually the crisis and the mechanism used to address the crisis are separate.

AI may be different.

Imagine sufficiently advanced artificial intelligence begins producing increasingly frightening consequences: autonomous cyberattacks, sophisticated biological threats, destabilized labor markets, military escalation, financial disruption, deepfake-driven political chaos or autonomous weapons operating faster than humans can control them.

Public fear would understandably increase.

Governments would respond.

But if national regulation repeatedly failed because AI development continued elsewhere, pressure for international enforcement would grow.

Then comes the extraordinary irony.

What technology would be best suited to monitor such a gigantic global regulatory system?

Artificial intelligence.

AI could analyze financial activity.

AI could monitor communications.

AI could identify prohibited computing clusters.

AI could track individuals.

AI could detect unauthorized transactions.

AI could monitor borders.

AI could identify political or security threats.

AI could process surveillance data at a scale impossible for human bureaucracies.

The very technology that creates demands for unprecedented global governance could also make unprecedented global governance technically possible.

That is a development worth contemplating.

Previous generations could dream about world government, but administering billions of human beings was extraordinarily difficult.

AI changes the equation.

For the first time, humanity is building machines capable of analyzing enormous populations, transactions, communications and behavioral patterns continuously and automatically.

A global control system that once required millions of bureaucrats could increasingly be administered by algorithms.

The Antichrist Doesn't Have To Sell Tyranny

Christians sometimes imagine the future Antichrist arriving openly declaring his intention to dominate humanity.

But deception rarely works that way.

Control is easier to accept when it is presented as protection.

Surveillance becomes safety.

Censorship becomes protection from misinformation.

Financial monitoring becomes protection against crime.

Digital identification becomes convenience and security.

International enforcement becomes protection against existential catastrophe.

And centralized authority becomes the price humanity is told it must pay to survive.

That does not mean every person advocating international AI cooperation secretly desires world government. There are legitimate reasons for governments to discuss safeguards around technologies capable of affecting civilization.

The prophetic question is what happens when cooperation is declared insufficient.

What happens when voluntary agreements fail?

What happens when one country refuses?

What happens when an AI disaster finally occurs?

The demand may quickly become: Someone must have the authority to enforce the rules.

And whoever possesses that authority would wield extraordinary power.

A Warning Worth Hearing

Peter Thiel isn't a prophet, and his political and theological interpretations should not be treated as Scripture.

But his observation exposes a question Christians should consider carefully.

What kind of crisis could convince independent nations to surrender powers they would never surrender under normal circumstances?

AI may provide one possible answer.

Not because someone announces a grand plan for world government, but because governments gradually conclude that technology has become too dangerous to regulate separately.

The world may eventually be presented with an extraordinarily persuasive argument:

Either humanity establishes powerful global mechanisms capable of controlling artificial intelligence--or humanity risks losing control of artificial intelligence altogether.

And once that argument takes hold, the debate will no longer be about whether global authority is desirable.

It will be about whether global authority is necessary.

That is the moment students of prophecy should be watching.

Because perhaps the greatest danger isn't that someone will someday force humanity into a global system.

It is that humanity may become frightened enough to demand one.

And AI may not merely provide the crisis that makes such a demand possible.

It may provide the machinery that makes such a system possible as well.

Thiel: Slowing AI Requires a World Government | Yahoo.com

#bibleprophecy, #ai, #one world government, #thiel, #control

Sep 25, 2026

Slowing AI globally would require a coercive world government, and that government might be more dangerous than the technology itself. That is the argument [Peter Thiel](#), PayPal co-founder and prominent technology investor, made in a September 2026 interview with [Axel Springer CEO Mathias Döpfner](#), published amid a widening debate over how Western democracies can regulate AI without ceding ground to China.

The stakes reach beyond policy circles. [Divergent regulatory outcomes](#) could shape which AI products you can access, when companies deploy them, and what compliance costs get passed to consumers across jurisdictions.

Thiel's Core Argument: Enforcement Requires Authority No One Should Have

Voluntary international coordination, he argues, cannot meaningfully constrain a technology that rival states are developing independently at full speed.

"In theory, you could slow it down if you had [genuine, deep cooperation across](#) the whole world," Thiel said in the Döpfner interview, as reported by pjfp.com. "But I think that would require like a one world government with real teeth, real force."

He immediately rejected that solution as worse than the problem. From his classical-liberal perspective, a centralized authority capable of enforcing [AI restrictions](#) across the United States, China, and the European Union would concentrate power in ways he views as unacceptable. This is Thiel's argument, not a consensus position among governance experts.

Peter Thiel tells Mathias Döpfner the only real way to slow AI is a one-world government, and that cure may be worse than the disease (via [@mdmeets](#)).

"In theory, you could slow it down if you had genuine, deep cooperation across the whole world. But I think that would require... [pic.twitter.com/PsxQNIedka](#)

— Patrick F. Feeley (@PFFeeley) [September 25, 2026](#)

The Pope, the Encyclical, and a Sharp Accusation

Thiel directed his sharpest language at [Pope Leo XIV's May 2026 encyclical](#), Magnifica Humanitas, calling it strategically damaging to Western AI interests.

The document calls for binding limits on AI, particularly in [military applications](#). Available reporting does not establish that it seeks to halt AI development entirely.

Thiel characterized the encyclical as "anti-AI," which is his description, not an independently verified account of the document's scope. His strategic concern was asymmetric: democratic governments and their citizens might honor the pope's call for restraint while China would not.

"The Communist Party of China is not going to listen to the pope," [Thiel said](#), according to Politico. "There's a chance people in the US will. And so in effect, the Pope's encyclical was working for the Communist party."

He went further, telling Döpfner that the pope was "at least acting as a [useful idiot for the CCP](#)," while adding he was not accusing Leo of being a Communist agent, as reported by The Telegraph. The "useful idiot" characterization is Thiel's political accusation, not an objective finding about Pope Leo XIV's motives or affiliations.

The Asymmetry Argument and Its Own Limits

Thiel's central strategic concern is that restrictions enforceable within Western democracies, but ignored by Beijing, could disadvantage U.S. firms without reducing global AI risk.

That assessment is Thiel's, not an established outcome of any specific regulatory proposal currently under consideration. The available research does not independently verify that any particular rule would produce that result.

Thiel also introduced a notable qualification in the same interview. Americans themselves are a significant source of [AI backlash](#), he said, and he cautioned against attributing all domestic opposition to Chinese influence, according to Business Insider. His argument is about structural regulatory risk, not a claim that Beijing is orchestrating U.S. public opinion.

What the Debate Means in Practice

Divergent AI rules across major powers could significantly shape deployment timelines, compliance costs, and access to computing resources for companies and consumers in each jurisdiction.

No quantified market forecasts exist in the available reporting, and none should be assumed. Regulatory choices made in the coming years could significantly influence the competitive landscape for [AI development](#) across rival powers.

Whether international [AI oversight](#) can be coordinated across those powers without either a coercive central authority or a race to the bottom on safety standards remains genuinely unresolved. Thiel's remarks sharpen the tension. The difficulty of the problem does not shrink because his framing of it is provocative.

Belgian official says attacks on Jews in country a coordinated effort ‘inspired’ by Iran | The Times of Israel.com

#bibleprophecy, #anti-semitism, #belgium, #iran, #attacks

Sep 26, 2026

Head of nation's Coordination Unit for Threat Analysis says synagogue bomb blast and torching of car were not isolated incidents, followed Iranian call to harm Jews and Israelis

A top Belgian security official has said an Iranian-led effort was behind a number of attacks targeting Jews in the country earlier this year.

Speaking to public broadcaster RTBF, Gert Vercauteren, head of Belgium's Coordination Unit for Threat Analysis, said those behind a bomb attack on a synagogue in Liege and the torching of a car in Antwerp "certainly followed Iran's call to strike Jewish and Israeli interests everywhere in the world."

None were hurt in either attack.

Vercauteren said the attacks were part of a coordinated effort, and not isolated cases. He said an individual later arrested in Turkey was leading the coordination of attacks in Europe.

"There have been other interventions, and that is why, for now, we have not seen any more attacks inspired by Iran," he said.

Iran is believed to have boosted efforts to harm Jewish and Israeli targets around the world since Jerusalem and Washington launched their war against Tehran in late February, leading to increased security for locations tied to Jews and Israel.

The blast at Liege's Great Synagogue in March shattered windows and damaged the main door. It was condemned by the government as a "despicable antisemitic act."

Several people were later detained, though no charges have been filed.

Two teens were also arrested later in March for a suspected antisemitic [torching](#) of a car in Antwerp.

In several cases, claim-of-responsibility videos circulated on social media, shared by groups identified as pro-Iranian.

The Great Synagogue reopened two months after the blast, according to Belgium's national [Belga News Agency](#).

Built in 1899, the synagogue also serves as a museum for the history of Liege's Jewish community.

Antisemitism and anti-Israel sentiments have skyrocketed in Belgium since the start of the war sparked by the devastating Hamas attack on Israel on October 7, 2023.

Attacks such as verbal abuse, intimidation, physical violence and graffiti continue to occur in the 30,000-strong Jewish community on a weekly basis, Ralph Pais, vice-chair of the Jewish Information and Documentation Centre (JID), [said](#) in December.

‘I fear a massacre’: Jewish communities on alert as leaders warn of ‘toxic’ antisemitic climate | Ynet News.com

#bibleprophecy, #anti-semitism, #jews, #global, #attacks

Sep 28, 2026

Jewish leaders in US, Canada and Europe report mounting anxiety; New York rabbi holds Shabbat emergency drills, while Jewish Agency official says communities are tense and vigilant but not in panic

Jewish community leaders in the United States, Canada and Europe describe an increasingly “toxic” atmosphere amid events in Israel and rising antisemitism, with some expressing fears of a mass-casualty attack against Jews. The picture emerges from conversations with rabbis, a senior Israeli official who assists communities with intelligence, a Jewish Agency official and an Israeli diplomat in a European capital.

A rabbi in a New York community said he conducts emergency drills for the entire congregation on Shabbat, even if doing so means violating Sabbath restrictions. The drills include testing escape routes for children and access to secure areas inside the synagogue. He said he fears a terror attack. “The atmosphere is so toxic that it is clear to all of us that it will happen. I don’t sleep at night because of the possibility of a massacre,” the rabbi said. [On Yom Kippur, shots were fired at the Sons of Jacob synagogue in Belleville, Canada.](#)

A senior Israeli official who helps Jewish communities in the United States prepare intelligence measures aimed at preventing attacks against Jews, in close coordination with U.S. federal agencies, said that “in the United States they euphemistically call it hate crime,” adding that the phenomenon is growing.

He argued that the climate is being intensified by “people like Mamdani” and is particularly visible on social media. “This is pure antisemitism. They hated us before this, and they will hate us afterward,” he said.

He does not believe the situation would fundamentally change even if Israel altered its policies. According to him, the U.S. administration is taking the issue very seriously and nearly every relevant agency is cooperating with Jewish communities.

He added that Israel is actively sharing intelligence that helps prevent attacks against Jewish communities in the United States, Australia and Europe.

‘The antisemitic genie is out of the bottle’

A senior Jewish Agency official described a more complex picture that varies from country to country.

“About a week ago, a conference call was held to assess the situation in Germany,” he said. The conclusion was that there was tension and worried discussion, but “definitely not panic.”

The same applies to communities in the United States, he said: “We have not heard of panic.”

In France, there is tension ahead of the presidential election, along with concerns about the rise of the far left, which he said has forged an alliance with radical Muslims. He added that many Jewish communities around the world are experiencing increased tension, though not all of them.

The official said that in some major cities, Jews are afraid to wear a kippah, tzitzit, Haredi clothing or shirts displaying Israeli or Jewish symbols.

Security awareness has also increased following repeated attacks on synagogues and Jewish communal institutions. In some places, though not many, security guards are stationed outside, most of them community volunteers. Police protection is also sometimes provided during Jewish holidays, depending on the city and the position of local authorities.

In the United States, he said, tensions are particularly high in cities such as New York and Los Angeles. Because Jewish communities and organizations are highly decentralized, however, it is difficult to form a comprehensive national picture.

In the United States and Canada, he added, conditions are more severe in some states and provinces than in others. Hostility on university campuses is also concentrated at a number of institutions that frequently make headlines. While the situation at those campuses is very serious, he said, it does not reflect the atmosphere at every university.

Of particular concern, he said, is the informal treatment of Jews in labor unions, hospitals, professional conferences and the arts and cultural worlds.

There is no official boycott of Jews, he said, but hostility toward Israel often spills over onto Jews, creating what he described as a bleak atmosphere marked by fear and anger.

At the same time, there has been no wave of migration to other countries, meaning assessments are based largely on sentiment rather than migration data. Officials familiar with the issue said the areas of North America where Jews currently feel most at risk include greater Detroit, New York, Toronto and Montreal.

Jewish community figures in one Western European country acknowledged that they see no future for their community there after what they described as “the antisemitic genie coming out of the bottle.”

Many are sending their children to study in Israel or North America. Some businesspeople are looking for ways to move their businesses elsewhere, though doing so is far from simple.

Religious and communal life continues, but under heavy security and amid constant concern. In the capital of that Western European country, Jews have been instructed not to walk around wearing kippahs because of fears they could be targeted by extremists.

Most invitations to community events no longer include the venue. The location is sent only to confirmed participants, usually a day before the event.

An Israeli diplomat in one European capital said many Jews feel fear and anxiety, and some are considering leaving. Some send their sons to serve in the Israeli military, while others have purchased homes in Israel.

According to the diplomat, the atmosphere feels similar to that experienced by German Jews in the 1930s.

Bill Gates warns artificial intelligence 'powerful enough' to cause 'a billion deaths' if unchecked | Foxnews.com

#bibleprophecy, #bill gates, #ai, #warning, #deaths, #technology

Sep 27, 2026

Bill Gates warned on NBC's "Meet the Press" that AI could drive events causing a billion deaths, calling it irresponsible not to require more safeguards.

Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates warned that [artificial intelligence](#) (AI) could cause "a billion deaths" if left unchecked in the latest push to regulate AI on NBC's "Meet the Press" on Sunday.

During his interview, [Gates, who previously warned](#) that AI could be the "greatest equalizer" or "worst source of injustice" without some level of human regulation, stopped short of answering whether the technology could "end all of humanity" but argued that it could drive events that would lead to significant deaths.

"AI is certainly powerful enough to drive events that, you know, cause a billion deaths," Gates said. "You know, so even though it's pretty hard to get to 100%, there's never been a weapon as powerful as the combination of people with ill intent using the latest AI tools."

AI EXTINCTION WARNINGS DOMINATE HEADLINES AFTER EX-ANTHROPIC EMPLOYEE'S VIRAL POST

Gates is among several tech giants who have put forth warnings about AI, including Anthropic CEO Dario Amodei and OpenAI CEO Sam Altman. Despite this, President Donald Trump [has rejected calls](#) to ease back on AI development in an effort to compete with other global powers like China.

Gates disagreed, saying there "absolutely" needed to be more focus on regulation and legislation passed by Congress rather than concerns about global competition.

WATCH: AI SAFETY SCARE SPARKS CAP HILL FIGHT OVER GUARDRAILS: 'CHINA'S GOING TO EAT OUR LUNCH'

"The problem is that the rest of the world is either discounting this or just thinking of this as a competition. And it's very scary that we don't have all of the thinkers saying, 'How do we want to shape this?'" Gates said.

When host Kristen Welker compared the situation to limitations around nuclear weapons development between the U.S. and U.S.S.R. during the Cold War, Gates called AI "more difficult," particularly if there is less effort to work with allies.

"And, you know, because it's cast in this nationalistic, you know, us versus them type thing, you have people who are saying, 'Well, let's just go full-speed ahead.' It's completely irresponsible not to require every AI to have these safeguards and monitoring capabilities. And it only is a question of do you do it now?" Gates said.

[Fox News Digital](#) reached out to the Gates Foundation for comment.

RAND PAUL CLASHES WITH FELLOW REPUBLICAN OVER AI 'KILL SWITCH' AS SENATE GRAPPLES WITH 'TERMINATOR' FEARS

Though Trump has downplayed concerns over AI, some Republicans have called for the government to take action, including Republican National Committee member and Humans First Chairwoman Amy Kremer.

"Even Bill Gates, one of the most influential figures in the history of technology, is now admitting the dangers of artificial intelligence. He warns that AI could drive events causing a billion deaths and that people with ill intent have never held a more powerful weapon. At this point, the only people still denying the reality of the situation are those being paid by Big AI to gaslight the American people," Kremer told Fox News Digital.

However, Laffer Tengler Investments CEO Nancy Tengler called out Gates' comments, claiming that he was belittling his critics with no clear evidence.

"To say that 'there's never been a weapon as powerful' is an absolute statement rich in irony as the [Trump] Administration seeks to keep nuclear weapons out of the hands of the Iranian regime. The U.S. needs to win the AI race just as we sought to win the arms race during the Cold War," Tengler told Fox News Digital.

In another comment, Julia Cartwright, senior research fellow in law and economics at the American Institute for Economic Research, also offered Fox News Digital a more optimistic view on AI development.

"Economically, what Gates is describing is a catastrophic negative externality. AI is sharply lowering the cost of causing harm, whether that's bioweapons design, cyberattacks or large-scale fraud, while the companies building these tools don't bear the full cost when things go wrong," Cartwright said.

She added, "A way to combat this is clear liability and clear rules of the game, so developers internalize the risks they create. Done right, that doesn't slow American innovation it strengthens it, because firms can build and invest with confidence when they know what's expected of them, and the public can trust what they're building."

Netherlands Confirms First Child Euthanized Under New Law Was 1 Year Old | People.com

#bibleprophecy, #netherlands, #death, #euthansia, #children, #murder

Sep 28, 2026

Dutch officials confirmed that the child who was euthanized was a baby, almost 24 months old.

NEED TO KNOW

- A 1-year-old child was euthanized in the Netherlands in 2025 due to severe illness and suffering
- This marks the first case under a 2024 law allowing euthanasia for terminally ill children under 12
- The law requires parental consent and a doctor's agreement that the child is suffering unbearably without improvement

The first child euthanized in the Netherlands was a 1-year-old child, according to the Dutch government.

In June 2026, Health Minister Sophie Hermans revealed that a child under the age of 12 died from euthanasia at the end of 2025 due to severe illness, marking the first case of its kind.

Now, according to a [new report](#) from the committee that reviews all late-term abortions and medically assisted deaths of children, officials confirm the child was “almost 24 months” old at the time of their death.

The child was reportedly born prematurely at just 26 weeks, leading to serious complications including “extensive brain damage” and multiple infections that led to sepsis by the time the baby was 4 months old.

At 8 months old, the child was diagnosed with a rare and serious form of epilepsy called infantile spasms syndrome (also known as West syndrome) and cerebral palsy. The seizures led to the baby developing a severe sleeping disorder, as well as suffering from respiratory problems, coughing fits and a swallowing disorder.

“In the course of the child’s short life, there was a complete lack of development or improvement,” the report states. “Every facet of ‘being human’ in terms of motor skills, behavior and personality was severely affected, and there would be no improvement.

Despite all the medical and non-medical interventions, the parents and the physician saw no improvement in the child's condition and were convinced that the child was suffering unbearably and without prospect of improvement."

After prognosis and treatment options were discussed, the parents asked the physician to terminate the child's life. According to the report, the committee found that "the physician had acted with due care" given the circumstances.

This was the first time that a child under the age of 12 was euthanized since the new law was passed two years ago.

Voluntary euthanasia — when a medical professional ends a person's life at their request — was legalized in the Netherlands in April 2002, making it the first country in the world to offer the option.

According to the government's [website](#), minors can request euthanasia from the age of 12 as long as they're capable of assessing and understanding what's best for them. Children ages 12 to 15 require parental or guardian consent. For minors who are 16 or 17, parents or guardians must be consulted in the decision-making process, but their consent is not required.

In 2024, the law was [extended](#) to allow children under the age of 12 to be euthanized if the child is "terminally ill and is suffering unbearably with no prospect of improvement."

In these cases, the doctor and parents can decide together to end the child's life. "This decision is always made in consultation with the parents and, if possible, also with the child," the government website states.

NYC Episcopal Priest Declares Jesus Is 'Transgender': If You Deny This, You Deny His 'Divinity' | Breitbart.com

#bibleprophecy, #episcopal, #jesus, #transgender, #apostasy

Sep 25, 2026

An Episcopal priest in New York City declared that Jesus was "transgender" and told the congregation that if they deny this, they are denying the very "divinity" of Christ.

Reverend Flourish Klink, who considers herself a transgender nonbinary individual, made the outlandish assertions during a recent sermon at Christ & St. Stephen's Episcopal Church.

"God is non-binary. God is non-binary, but I'm going to go further than that, and I'm going to say God is actually also trans," Klink said. "And now let's get on the same page here. When we trans people are born, folks assign us a gender based on what they see. Then, when we're old enough, we realize that that wasn't right, and we're not in our deepest selves the gender that everybody thought we were."

She used this line of thinking to conclude that Jesus was wrongly assigned "male" at birth.

"Here's why God is trans. When God, who as we have established is non-binary, was born in human form as our Lord Jesus Christ, Mary said, 'Oh, look, a baby boy.' God isn't a boy. We have established this. God is beyond boy and girl. God was assigned a gender at birth, and later on, it became clear that that gender wasn't quite right."

It appears Klink completely ignores the first chapter of the Gospel of Luke, where the angel Gabriel appeared to Mary and explicitly told her she will give birth to a "son."

"Do not be afraid, Mary, for you have found favor with God. And behold, you will conceive in your womb and bear a son, and you shall call his name Jesus. He will be great and will be called the Son of the Most High. And the Lord God will give to him the throne of his father David, and he will reign over the house of Jacob forever, and of his kingdom there will be no end," the scriptures read.

Gabriel assured Mary again, "Therefore the child to be born will be called holy – the Son of God."

Despite this, Klink believes rejecting her declaration that Jesus is transgender denies the divinity of Jesus altogether.

Klink said, “So, if you’re going to deny God as trans, I think you’re going to be denying the divinity of our Lord Jesus Christ, and I have some notes about that.” Further, Klink seems to believe Jesus’s life serves as evidence of a transgender nature, citing his tenderness toward women in his ministry and his remaining unmarried.

“When I say that later on it became clear gender wasn’t quite right, I mean it. We already know Jesus didn’t live life exactly the way your average first-century Judean man did,” she continued. “To the best of our knowledge, he didn’t get married. We don’t know for sure. He chose to teach and to engage with women in ways that were very unusual, that were not the traditionally masculine way that people might be behaving with each other.”

“And then Jesus died, and when Jesus was resurrected, Jesus was different. Jesus didn’t look like himself. There was something different about Jesus, and now I will admit the Bible does not tell us what that is,” she admitted. “So if anybody says they do know what that is, they are also not telling the truth, and I have some notes for them.”

“The Bible doesn’t tell us why it is that Jesus’s best friends, his disciples, the people who had walked with him to the end of his life, the people who buried him in the tomb when it was politically difficult, who were committed to him, still didn’t recognize Jesus for a good long time,” Klink said, ironically using male pronouns repeatedly to describe Jesus, who she is convinced is transgender. She ultimately concluded that Jesus’s resurrected body transitioned into his “true self” beyond gender.

“Now I don’t know for sure. I wasn’t there, but based on everything else I know about God, I have an idea. I think Jesus was resurrected as Jesus’s true self, which is beyond male or female. I think Jesus was not only transfigured. I think Jesus transitioned,” she said.

Once again, Klink is wholly ignoring another part of the [Bible](#), where Jesus appeared to Mary Magdalene in John 20. She did not recognize him at first and thought he was the gardener, referring to him as “sir,” the Greek word “kurios,” a grammatically masculine word referring to someone in supreme authority or a master — not a word typically used to refer to a woman.

The viral clip comes while similar theological battles play out in the Senate race in Texas. Democrat candidate James Talarico, a self-described Christian, has made unbiblical claims about Christianity, including [doubting](#) that Jesus is the only way to God.

His pastor and mentor Rev. Jim Rigby, head of St. Andrews Presbyterian Church in Austin, Texas, has [rejected](#) a central event of the Gospel, stating during an Easter message Jesus may have not risen from the dead.

All the while, Rev. Babs Miller, a lesbian chaplain at the same church, doubted Jesus’s entire existence and said if he did exist, he certainly did not die for the sins of humanity.

[Report: Chaplain at James Talarico's Church Doesn't Believe Jesus Christ Died for Humanity's Sins | The Gateway Pundit.com](#)

#bibleprophecy, #presbyterian, #jesus, #sins, #apostasy

Sep 26, 2026

The lesbian chaplain at the church of Texas [Democrat](#) James Talarico doesn't believe that Jesus Christ died for the sins of humanity. In fact, she's not even sure Jesus ever existed.

Why do these people even identify as Christians?

Every time a report surfaces about this supposedly Christian church, it's because they believe something or have said something that flies in the face of the very foundational beliefs of Christians.

[Breitbart News](#) reports:

The Rev. Babs Miller, a lesbian chaplain at Democrat Senate candidate James Talarico's church, says that Jesus did not die for the sins of humanity – and is not sure whether God's Son even really existed in the first place.

Miller is listed as an "out" lesbian chaplain at St. Andrew's Presbyterian Church, who came out of retirement to serve the church again in 2022 because she "missed the fun of doing ministry here, and I missed all the people."

In an unearthed video, Miller stunningly reveals that she does not believe some of the bedrock foundations of the Gospel at all. She openly doubted Jesus's entire existence, dismissed the virgin birth as nothing more than a wild idea rooted in paganism, and concluded that even if Jesus did exist, He certainly "didn't die" for the sins of humanity.

"Part of what we encourage here is for people to think for themselves," she said in what appears to be an undercover video featuring an individual asking if "we" really believe in Jesus's virgin birth.

"It's kind of like what they say in 12-step programs: Take what you want and leave the rest," she said. "And I know that would greatly offend your relatives who want to hang on to these archaic rules. Mary and the virgin birth comes out of the pagan belief that the gods came down and impregnated people that people were still hanging on to during that time."

It's like these people are just playing at being Christians.

Why do they even bother if they don't believe the most important parts of the faith?

Woke megachurch pastor, Dem nominee called on congregation to repent for driving, eating beef | Foxnews.com

#bibleprophecy, #woke pastor, #repentenc, #apostasy, #democrat

Sep 28, 2026

In Kansas, cows are king, but a Democrat trying to seize power once told his congregation to repent for eating beef and raising cattle.

Adam Hamilton, the Democratic nominee running to unseat Sen. Roger Marshall, R-Kan., in the Sunflower State, for years led the largest United Methodist church in the nation. He would give sermons that Marshall [charged were "woke,"](#) and counter to Kansans' values.

One such sermon, reviewed by Fox News Digital, saw Hamilton call on his congregation to repent over their [carbon footprints](#).

"That's what repentance means — I saw things differently, it changed my heart, and it changed my behavior," Hamilton said during a January 2020 sermon called, ["What would Jesus say about climate change?"](#)

"So what I'm calling you to today, and I'm calling myself to it, because I've been wasteful, I've done things that wasn't, you know, I didn't think about it, how it wasn't really good stewardship of the earth around me," he continued. "I'm calling us to repentance to say, 'I realize that this has impact, even if it seems small, it has an impact on the world and future generations. And I feel badly about that, and I'm going to do something different from this time forward.'"

Despite Kansas' 94-year history of being a ruby-red bastion for the GOP in the Senate, the race between Hamilton and Marshall has unexpectedly tightened in recent months as Republicans across the country face a sobering political environment.

Kansas slipped from "Likely R" in [Fox News' Power Rankings](#) to "Lean R," and Cook Political Report echoed the same shift, too.

"In a state with more cattle than people, Hamilton saying that Kansans need repent for eating too much beef and flip flopping on the Farm Bill proves he'd be just like every other liberal Democrat in the Senate," Marshall told Fox News Digital in a statement.

High fuel prices, particularly diesel in an agriculture-centric state like Kansas, spurred by President Donald [Trump's Iran war](#) are giving voters anxiety, and expanding the map of battleground states that Republicans need to defend to maintain their majority in the Senate.

Hamilton's campaign countered to Fox News Digital that Marshall has "repeatedly introduced legislation to reduce emissions from cattle and claimed 'everyone's concerned about the methane production in the cattle industry.'"

"Marshall even bragged how the decline of the herd 'eliminated half of the methane gas produced from cattle already,'" Tyson Brody, a spokesperson for Hamilton, said. "As Adam said at the debate, Roger should repent for failing to stand up for Kansas cattle producers on tariffs, foreign imports, and skyrocketing diesel prices, and now he should repent for attacking Adam for something Roger Marshall said himself in the Senate. Kansas producers don't need lectures from someone who voted to put warning labels on hot dogs and bacon."

Meanwhile, Hamilton's sermon was part scripture, part PowerPoint presentation on how greenhouse gases occur and their impact on the environment. And during his 44-minute talk, Hamilton suggested that one of Kansas' staple economic drivers, beef, was a major contributor to the problem.

"Some of the things that we grow, because we like to eat them, like cattle, end up producing more carbon dioxide than other crops that we could grow, other forms of food that we could have," Hamilton said. "And so, in all of these ways, we are creating or adding to the amount of greenhouse gases in our world."

Cattle is a major industry for Kansas, which is the nation's third-largest producer of beef, according to [the Kansas Livestock Association](#). There are more heads of cattle in the state, just over 5.8 million, than people, with the state's population sitting at roughly 2.9 million.

It provides a major cash influx for the state's economy, too, with cattle farming and ranching producing tens of billions of dollars and employing over tens of thousands of Kansans.

"It is disappointing that a candidate for the U.S. Senate in Kansas, one of the nation's top beef-producing states, would make a statement like this to his parishioners about beef's impact on the climate," Kansas Livestock Association Chief Executive Officer Matt Teagarden told Fox News Digital in a statement. "Beef is an important, nutritious part of the American diet, and cattle play a significant role in maintaining grassland health and conservation, while accounting for only 2% of total U.S. greenhouse gas emissions."

Still, six years ago, Hamilton was calling on his congregation to change their habits to [reduce their carbon footprint](#).

"Now, you got to do everything you can to reduce your own usage of fossil fuels, but maybe changing your diet so that the things that you eat are requiring less production that creates methane and carbon dioxide and everything else," Hamilton said.