Grasping God's Word - Week 2 (Class Notes)

Bible Manuscripts -Translations - Canon

Question from last week: How Christians Receive Special Revelation?

Christians receive special revelation from God primarily through the Bible and the person of Jesus Christ. Special revelation is distinct from general revelation (which is knowledge about God available through nature and reason) because it is direct, specific, and redemptive, revealing God's nature, will, salvation, and the person of Jesus in ways that cannot be known otherwise.

| • | Through (without error): The Bible is considered the inspired and authoritative written special revelation of God. It contains God's direct communication, teachings, promises, and the story of salvation culminating in Jesus Christ. Christians engage with God's special revelation by reading, studying, and hearing the biblical text, which reveals God's truth and will (2 Timothy 3:16-17, Hebrews 4:12). |
|---|---|
| • | Through (without error: Jesus is the ultimate special revelation, God's Son who |
| | lived as a human being. Seeing, knowing, and believing in Jesus reveals God's character and |
| | redemptive plan personally and fully (John 14:9, Hebrews 1:1-3). |
| • | Through Experience and Spiritual Means (with possible error): Christians may experience God's revelation through prayer, the inward witness of the Holy Spirit, and sometimes through dreams or visions. However, these personal experiences are always expected to align with the Bible and the revelation of Jesus. They do not add new doctrine but deepen understanding or guidance. |
| (| Christians get special revelation by encountering God's communicated truth in Scripture and Jesus Christ and responding in faith and obedience. Though God can reveal Himself in personal ways miracles, prophecy, dreams), these are always judged by and subordinate to the Bible and the |

revelation in Jesus Christ.

Manuscript Counts: We have access to complete manuscripts and partial fragments; some dated as

- early as the second century.

 Greek manuscripts: approximately 5,800–5,856.
- Latin manuscripts: around 10,000.
- Other ancient language manuscripts: about 9,300–8,130+ in Coptic, Syriac, Armenian, and others.
- Total manuscripts: 20,000 to 25,000 (including all translations and fragments).
- No other work of ancient literature comes close to this number; for comparison, Homer's Iliad, the second-best attested, has fewer than 2,000 manuscripts.

How does this influence our confidence that we do have access to the original reading of the first autographs of Scripture?

The Masoretes, who were involved in the transmission and copying of the Old Testament, were extremely rigorous in making sure that they did not make errors or changes to the text. These scribes treated the text with great reverence. There were all kinds of rules they had to follow, but just to name a few:

| · v v | vv. | |
|-------|--|-------|
| • | No word or letter was to be written from | |
| • | Strict regulations between letters and words. If a space didn't measure up, | start |
| | over! – This encouraged clarity and legibility. | |
| • | They counted the number of in a book, and noted what the middle verse of the | е |
| | book was. | |

GCT Fall 2025

| They did the same with the nur | mber of letters and the | letter in a book. |
|---|---|--|
| There were a lot of other ceremonial-remind the scribes at all times that the | | |
| The New Testament is slightly differer do with the Old Testament. This is go but it also means there are more | od because it gives scholars | s plenty of data for textual criticism, |
| Still, Christian scribes attempted to be Testament. Around the year 800, for a they had some pretty serious penaltic made a mistake and had to start over | an example, the monks in Co es set in place for their duties | onstantinople acted as scribes, and |
| Penalties and penances were given to simply the text, fair | iling to maintain a | workspace and neat |
| parchment, or even breaking a pen in things. | anger aπer making a | , among otner |
| Assuming we are using a good English regarding the accuracy of the Bible work of Scholars can do textual criticism with Only dealing with | e have today: f scribes throughout history the many differences, rather than serio | |
| impact our understanding of theology The fact that our God is | and is absolutely | capable of preserving His Word. |
| English Translations of the Bible The first complete English translation the late 1300s (Wycliffe Bible, complete English). • John Wycliffe's Bible (): First of the Latin Vulgate. • William Tyndale (): First printed was the first to use original biblical list. • Coverdale Bible (): First computations from Latin and German. • Other Early Translations: Matthew English Type Translations (), each follow. | eted around 1382), translating complete English Bible trans ed English New Testament, t anguages. He was martyred plete printed English Bible, u texts. Bible (1537), Great Bible (15 | ig from the Latin Vulgate into Middle slation, hand-written and based on translated directly from Greek; he by the Church for this translation. It is sing Tyndale's work plus |
| Textus Receptus: Compiled by in 1516 from which were incomplete (notably in Fifom Latin into Greek. Represents the traditional text unde translations. The is simply a lncludes some readings not found in the Latin Vulgate. | Revelation), leading Erasmus rlying the King James Version on modern translation of the K | s to back-translate missing verses on and a few other older JV. |

Eclectic (Critical) Text:

- Developed by comparing thousands of ancient _____ manuscripts from all textual families, including Alexandrian, Byzantine, Western, and others; examples are the Nestle-Aland (NA) and United Bible Societies (UBS) editions.
- Prioritizes older, more widely attested manuscripts, and favors readings that best explain variations across witnesses.
- Revised continually as new manuscript _____ are made; most modern translations (NIV, ESV, NRSV, etc.) use the eclectic text.
- Seeks to reconstruct the text closest to the originals by weighing evidence, rather than relying on a specific manuscript tradition.

Impact and Differences:

- There are thousands of minor differences between the two texts (about two percent); most are trivial, and no major Christian doctrine is affected.
- Some famous verses and wordings in the King James Version (from the Textus Receptus) do not appear in modern translations based on the Eclectic Text because they cannot be found in the earlier manuscript evidence.

Table: Key Differences

| Aspect | Textus Receptus | Eclectic Text (Critical) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Manuscripts | Few, late medieval Greek | Thousands, all periods/families |
| Method | Editorial selection, Latin influence | Full manuscript comparison, textual |
| | | criticism |
| Modern Usage | KJV, NKJV | NASB, NIV, ESV, NRSV, most modern |
| | | Bibles |
| Notable Issues | Included Latin back-translations, | Continuously revised, more evidence- |
| | unique readings | based |
| Doctrinal Impact | No effect on core doctrine | No effect on core doctrine |

Word for Word
Thought for Thought
Paraphrase
Interlinear – NASB – AMP – ESV – RSV – KJV – NKJV – HCSB – NRSV – NAB – NJB – NIV – NCV – NLT – GNT – CEV – TLB - MSG

Example: Luke 4:4

NKJV (Representing the TR):

But Jesus answered him, saying, "It is written, 'Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word of God."

NASB (Representing the NA/UBS):

And Jesus answered him, "It is written, 'Man shall not live on bread alone."

Greek text of the TR: καὶ ἀπεκρίθη *ἰησοῦς* πρὸς αὐτὸν *λέγων*, γέγραπται ὅτι οὐκ ἐπ᾽ ἄρτῳ μόνῳ ζήσεται <u>ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἀλλ᾽ ἐπὶ παντὶ ῥήματι φεοῦ.</u>

Greek text of the NA/UBS:

καὶ ἀπεκρίθη πρὸς αὐτὸν *ὁ Ἰησοῦς*· γέγραπται ὅτι οὐκ ἐπ' ἄρτῳ μόνῳ ζήσεται ὁ ἄνθρωπος.

Example: John 1:18

KJV (Representing the TR): No man hath seen God at any time, the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him.

| ESV (Representing the NA/UBS): No one has ever seen God; the only God, who is at the Father's side, he has made him known. |
|--|
| Greek text of the TR: θεὸν οὐδεὶς ἑώρακε πώποτε· <u>ὁ μονογενὴς υἰός,</u> ὁ ὢν εἰς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρὸς, ἐκεῖνος ἐξηγήσατο. |
| Greek text of the NA/UBS: θεὸν οὐδεὶς ἑώρακεν πώποτε· <u>μονογενὴς <i>θε</i>ὸς</u> ὁ ὢν εἰς τὸν κόλπον τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκεῖνος ἐξηγήσατο. |
| Two main approaches to translation methods: 1. Formal (literal) – for 2. Functional (dynamic equivalent) – for |
| Every translation falls somewhere on the of formal to functional. |
| What would be the downside of an entirely literal, word for word translation? |
| There are difficulties in going from Greek to English -Word order -Use of definite article -Verbs |
| What would be the downside of an entirely functional, thought for thought translation? |
| What would you say is the ideal mix of formal and functional translation philosophy for proper interpretation of the Bible? |
| What might be a good use for paraphrases or more functional versions? |
| Canonicity The term "canon" can be defined as the closed of both Old Testament and New Testament documents that constitute authoritative Scripture. |
| Original Meaning – from the Greek word "kanon" which is the equivalent of the Hebrew word "qaneh" which means "reed". Derived Meaning – Certain reeds were often used as a measuring device, and so the word "kanon" came to mean a standard or rule. It was applied to a list or index, and so the term "canon" was associated with the standard list of texts that comprised the OT and NT Scriptures. The Old Testament Canon The Old Testament continually claims to be the Word of God: "Thus says the Lord…" Also, Jesus taught from all portions of the Old Testament, and when He did, the Pharisees never once questioned the authority of the Old Testament Scriptures. |
| He stated that the Scriptures were from the Law to the Prophets, to the Psalms or Writings (Luke 24:44: Then he said to them, "These are my words that I spoke to you while I was still with you, that everything written about me in the Law of Moses and the Prophets and the Psalms must be fulfilled." |
| Jesus and the NT authors quote roughly times from the OT and never from an Apocryphal book. The apostles also quote from nothing but the OT canon when speaking about divinely inspired |

| Protestants did not and still do not. The decisive reason for rejecting the Apocrypha is their absence from the Hebrew Bible. The Jews witness is against the Apocrypha. Also, none of the apocryphal writings are cited as Scripture by Jesus or the apostles in the New Testament. |
|--|
| The principal reason behind the Roman Catholic Church's decision to canonize the Apocryphal books was that several key books were supportive of church teachings, especially for and prayers for the dead (2 Maccabees 12:45-45). These teachings are found nowhere else in the NT or OT writings but were critical to the Roman Church's practices and teaching. Additionally, some of them were written during the "400 Years of Silence" between the end of the prophets and the coming of Christ. To accept a book written during that silent period of revelation is contradictory. |
| Ultimately, there is no good biblical reason to regard the apocrypha as inspired Scripture. |
| The New Testament Canon All texts in the New Testament canon were written by the end of the century AD and the death of the apostles. Discussion on whether these were inspired and canonical continued into the fourth century, but there were only a small number of them that remained in question for that long. |
| By the century, the church almost unanimously acknowledged what we now know as the 27-book New Testament canon. Now all major branches of Christianity (Protestant, Catholic and Orthodox) accept the same New Testament canon. |
| Criteria for Canonicity: Old Testament (attested by Josephus, a Jewish historian from the time of Christ) Writings were accepted as canonical because they were in a general sense "prophetic," meaning that the writers were inspired to write the words of God. |
| Additional criteria included: • with the Pentateuch (the first 5 books of the OT by Moses) and other Scripture. • Factually |
| Authored or supervised by a person recognized as |
| Acceptance by the community |

Scripture. The Roman Catholic Church accepted the apocryphal books as canonical, while

Criteria for Canonicity: New Testament

| For the New Testament, the same essential criterion was utilized—the writing is "prophetic," mea | ning |
|---|-------|
| that the author was inspired to write the words of God. Three other criteria for canonicity are world | hy of |
| mention: | |

| mention: | |
|---|---|
| -Apostolicity—Authored or supervised by a | n |
| -Orthodoxy— | with the apostolic gospel and teaching |
| -Catholicity—Accepted by the | church |
| · | ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Is the Canon Closed? We have no explicit biblical testimony that is are some good reasons to consider the cal | it would close at a specific time or event. However, there non closed: |
| | olic age was unique in that the apostles witnessed and eds, words and significance of Jesus Christ. |
| • reason: the churc | h has never accepted as canonical any other writing |
| | e. rically and providentially improbable that a canonical writing e of the church was lost and then would be found later. |

| Traditional Protestant View | Traditional Roman Catholic View |
|---|---|
| The church recognized the Biblical books as inspired texts. | The church authorized the Bible. |
| The Bible and God's Word created the church. | The church created the Bible. |
| The Bible alone is inspired. | The Bible and church tradition are authoritative. |
| Revelation has ceased. | Revelation is continuing. |
| The Apocrypha is not accepted as inspired. | The Apocrypha is accepted as inspired. |

Homework: Select four translations that we talked about. Select a passage from the Bible (at least two verses long) and write out how these translations render this passage. Mark or highlight the differences among the four translations. Summarize your observations.